# 2020 Annual Vapor Intrusion Mitigation Status Report

Pacific Food Systems, Inc. North Building 5815 Fourth Avenue South, Seattle, Washington

Natus Medical Building 5900 First Avenue South, Seattle, Washington

# Agreed Order No. DE10402

February 12, 2021

Prepared for

Mr. Ron Taylor Capital Industries, Inc. 5801 Third Avenue South Seattle, Washington



2107 South C Street Tacoma, WA 98402 (253) 926-2493 This page intentionally left blank.

# Vapor Intrusion Mitigation Status Report Pacific Foods System, Inc and Natus Medical Building Seattle, Washington

This document was prepared by, or under the direct supervision of, the technical professionals noted below.

Document prepared by:

Project Enginee

David Johnson, PE

Document reviewed by:

Quality Reviewer/Project Manager

\_Jennifer Wynkoop

 Date:
 February 12, 2021

 Project No.:
 1933001.010.013

 File path:
 \tacoma3\PROJECT\1933\1933\_001 Capital Industries\R\2020 Annual VI Mitigation Status Report\LAL\_Vapor Intrusion Mitigation Status Report\_Rev4.docx

 Project Coordinator:
 kjg

This page intentionally left blank.

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

			Pa	age				
1.0		INTRO	DUCTION	1-1				
	1.1	L	Purpose of Report	1-1				
	1.2	2	Report Organization	1-1				
2.0		SITE D	ESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND	2-1				
	2.1	L	Site Description	2-1				
	2.2	2	PFS-N Building Background	2-1				
	2.3	3	Natus Medical (Former Olympic Medical) Building Background	2-2				
3.0		VAPOR	R INTUSION MITIGATION MEASURES	3-1				
4.0		INSPE	CTION, MONITORING, AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES	4-1				
	4.1	L	Inspections and Monitoring	4-1				
		4.1.1	Tenant Inspections	4-1				
		4.1.2	Annual Inspections	4-2				
		4.1.3	Pressure Field Extension Monitoring	4-2				
		4.1.4	Air Quality Monitoring	4-3				
	4.2	2	System Evaluation and Optimization	4-3				
	4.3	3	Subslab Depressurization System Maintenance	4-3				
5.0		ANNU	AL INSPECTION, MONITORING, AND MAINATENANCE RESULTS	5-1				
	5.1	L	Inspection, Monitoring, and Maintenance Activities	5-1				
	5.2	2	Inspection, Monitoring, and Maintenance Results	5-1				
		5.2.1	PFS-N Results	5-2				
		5.2.2	Natus Results	5-3				
6.0		CONCI	LUSIONS	6-1				
7.0		PLANNED WORK FOR 20217-1						
8.0		USE O	F THIS REPORT	8-1				
9.0		REFERENCES						

#### **FIGURES**

Figure	<u>Title</u>
1	Vicinity Map
-	

- 2 Site Map
- 3 Pacific Foods Systems, Inc. North Building Monitoring Locations
- 4 Natus Medical Building Monitoring Locations

#### **TABLES**

Table	Title

- 1 Summary of 2020 Air Quality Monitoring Results
- 2 Summary of 2020 PFS-N SSDS Operation Parameters
- 3 Summary of 2020 Natus SSDS Operation Parameters

#### **APPENDICES**

#### Appendix <u>Title</u>

- A Subslab Depressurization System As-Built Schematics
- B Analytical Laboratory Reports

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

Agreed Order	Agreed Order No. DE 5348
Capital	Capital Industries, Inc.
CEF	cancer exceedance factors
COC	Constituent of concern
Ecology	Washington State Department of Ecology
Farallon	Farallon Consulting, LLC
HVOC	halogenated volatile organic compound
IOW	inches of water
IPIMAL	Inhalation Pathway Interim Measures Action Limit
LAI	Landau Associates, Inc.
μg/m <sup>3</sup>	micrograms per cubic meter
Natus	Natus Medical Building
NCEF	non-cancer exceedance factor
PCE	tetrachloroethene
PFE	pressure field extension
PFS-N	Pacific Food Systems, Inc. North Building
PSC	Philip Services Corporation
SCFM	standard cubic feet per minute
Site	Capital property
SSDS	subslab depressurization system
TCE	trichloroethene
VI	vapor intrusion
VIRL	vapor intrusion remediation levels
VOC	volatile organic compound
West 4 <sup>th</sup> Group	West of 4 <sup>th</sup> Group

This page intentionally left blank.

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Landau Associates, Inc. (LAI) has prepared this 2020 Annual Vapor Intrusion Mitigation Status Report on behalf of Capital Industries, Inc. (Capital) to provide an update on ongoing vapor intrusion (VI) mitigation measures, which include subslab depressurization systems (SSDSs) at the Pacific Food Systems, Inc. North Building located at 5815 4th Avenue South in Seattle, Washington (PFS-N) and the Natus Medical Building (former Olympic Medical Building) located at 5900 1st Avenue South in Seattle, Washington (Natus), which operated during the 2020 period. The general site location is shown on Figure 1 and the locations of PFS-N and Natus relative to the Capital property are shown on Figure 2.

Mitigation of VI from volatile constituents of concern (COCs) at PFS-N and Natus is required by the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) in accordance with Exhibits B and D of Agreed Order No. DE 5348 entered into by Ecology and Capital on January 24, 2008 (Agreed Order) and with the *Revised Vapor Intrusion Assessment, Monitoring, and Mitigation Plan*, prepared by Farallon Consulting, L.L.C. (Farallon 2015a) under Agreed Order No. DE 10402 entered into by Ecology and the West of 4th Group (West 4<sup>th</sup> Group).

### **1.1 Purpose of Report**

The purpose of this report is to provide a summary of the performance and monitoring results for the VI mitigation measures and details relating to the SSDS's ongoing operations at the PFS-N and Natus buildings in the 2020 period.

# 1.2 Report Organization

The report is organized as follows:

- Section 1 Introduction: Presents the report's purpose
- Section 2 Site Description and Background: Provides a description of the Capital Area of Investigation and a summary of the PFS-N and Natus buildings backgrounds
- Section 3 VI Mitigation Measures: Provides reference details of SSDS's installation and operations
- Section 4 Inspection, Monitoring, and Maintenance Procedures: Discusses the procedures used for SSDS's inspection, monitoring, and maintenance
- Section 5 Inspection, Monitoring, and Maintenance Results: Discusses the results from the SSDS's inspection, monitoring, and maintenance activities conducted at the PFS-N and Natus buildings
- Section 6 Conclusions: Presents LAI's conclusions regarding the SSDS's monitoring and performance air sampling results for the PFS-N and Natus buildings
- Section 7 Planned Work: Discusses work planned for the 2021
- Section 8 References: Provides a list of the documents used in preparation of this report.

### 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

This section provides a description of the Capital Area of Investigation within which the PFS-N and Natus buildings are located and a summary of each building's background, including a discussion of the basis for each VI mitigation plan and SSDSs operation.

# 2.1 Site Description

The Capital property (Site) is located at 5801 Third Avenue South between South Mead Street to the north and South Fidalgo Street to the south, and between Fourth Avenue South to the east and First Avenue South to the west in Section 39, Township 24 South, Range 4 East in Seattle, King County, Washington (Figure 2). Capital is a source of halogenated volatile organic compounds (HVOCs) in the subsurface with impacted groundwater extending downgradient from the property. Capital is part of the West of 4<sup>th</sup> remediation site. The Capital Area of Investigation is defined as the area south of South Mead Street, east of 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue South, north of South Front Street, and west of 4th Avenue South, and includes the property on the northwest corner of 4th Avenue South and South Mead Street (Farallon 2009b) (Figure 2). The Capital Area of Investigation is within Seattle city limits in King County, Washington (King County) in an area zoned for industrial light manufacturing. Properties within the Capital Area of Investigation include a mixture of light industrial, commercial, and residential properties.

The PFS-N Building is located adjacent to the east end of Capital Plant 4 (Figure 2), and is used by Pacific Food Systems, Inc. for warehouse storage and equipment maintenance.

The Natus Medical Building is located within the Capital Area of Investigation, south (downgradient) of Capital Plant 2 (Figure 2) and was used by Natus Medical Inc. for the distribution and manufacture of medical equipment. The Natus Medical Building has been referred to as the Olympic Medical Building in prior Site documents. Natus Medical, who was a tenant, vacated the building between October and December 2020. The building is owned by CenterPoint Properties.

# 2.2 PFS-N Building Background

According to prior Site documents prepared by others, the volatile HVOCs tetrachloroethene (PCE) and trichloroethene (TCE) were detected in soil gas, at concentrations exceeding the screening levels used to evaluate VI risk (75 micrograms per cubic meter  $[\mu g/m^3]$  and 3.9  $\mu g/m^3$ , respectively), in two subslab soil gas samples collected at the PFS-N Building in April 2011. The standards used to evaluate VI risk were set forth in the following documents:

- *Revised Inhalation Pathway Interim Measures Work Plan* prepared by Philip Services Corporation (PSC 2002)
- Draft Interim Vapor Intrusion Plan prepared by Arrow Environmental et al. (Arrow Environmental 2007), which is Exhibit D of the Agreed Order

• Updated Air and Groundwater Inhalation Pathway Interim Measures Action Levels (IPIMALs)/ Vapor Intrusion Remediation Levels (VIRLs) for Residential and Commercial Scenarios for the Georgetown Site prepared by Pioneer Technologies Corporation (Pioneer 2012).

During the initial VI investigation, screening levels for soil gas were based on historical Ecology guidance using an attenuation factor from soil gas to indoor air of 0.1; Ecology guidance has been updated and current guidance uses an attenuation factor of 0.03. This report will use the updated guidance to evaluate subslab soil gas samples from 2020; see Table 1 for updated screening levels.

The initial subslab sample results indicated the potential for VI into the PFS-N Building and warranted indoor air analysis to further evaluate whether a VI risk was present (Farallon 2017). The results from the assessment of indoor and outdoor ambient air, conducted between 2012 and 2014, indicated that a source of volatile COCs in the subsurface was potentially contributing to a VI condition for the PFS-N Building.

Tier 4 mitigation measures were implemented in 2015, which consisted of a subslab depressurization system (SSDS). Adjustments were made to optimize and confirm the extent of the negative pressure field exerted by the mitigation system (extension of the discharge stack, and additional differential pressure monitoring points) in 2017 and 2018. Despite implementation and optimization of the mitigation system, results from indoor air sampling events have remained relatively consistent indicating a background source, not VI, is the cause of VOCs in indoor air at PFS-N.

VI mitigation design specifications for PFS-N Building were developed in accordance with the *Vapor Intrusion Mitigation Design Plan* (Farallon 2014b). The need for VI mitigation at the PFS-N Building was based on results from Farallon's *Tier 3 Vapor Intrusion Assessment* (Farallon 2014a, b). The As-built plans of the SSDS installed and in operation at PFS-N Building are contained in Appendix A.

### 2.3 Natus Medical (Former Olympic Medical) Building Background

The Natus Medical Building, located at 5900 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue South, is downgradient of the Capital property. According to prior Site documents prepared by others (Farallon 2009a), Phillips Services Corporation (PSC) initially conducted a Tier 3 VI assessment at the Natus Medical (Former Olympic Medical) Building; subsequently, Capital was identified as the lead business responsible for VI mitigation.

The Tier 3 VI assessment was conducted in 2005 and included sampling indoor air to determine whether commercial indoor air cancer exceedance factors (CEFs) and non-cancer exceedance factors (NCEFs) exceeded their respective ratio benchmarks. The warehouse and manufacturing area results exceeded CEFs and NCEFs in the Natus Medical (Former Olympic Medical) Building, and a VI mitigation system was proposed by PSC for those areas based on the concentrations of TCE detected in indoor air (Farallon 2009a). Subsequent additional indoor air sampling by GeoEngineers Inc. in 2006 confirmed exceedances of TCE Indoor Pathway Interim Measures Action Levels (IPIMALs) in indoor air

within the warehouse area. In January of 2009, Farallon implemented mitigation in the form of a SSDS within the Natus Building (Farallon 2009a). The VI mitigation system was designed according to specifications defined in the *Vapor Intrusion Mitigation Work Plan, Olympic Medical Facility, Seattle, Washington* (Farallon 2008). The As-built plans of the SSDS installed and in operation at Natus Medical Building are contained in Appendix A.

### **3.0 VAPOR INTUSION MITIGATION MEASURES**

SSDSs in the PFS-N and Natus buildings were designed in accordance with the specifications defined in each facility's VI mitigation work plan or VI mitigation design plan, and ASTM International 2121-13. Installation of the SSDS in the PFS-N Building was completed in March 2015 and installation of the Natus SSDS took place in January 2009. As-built schematics for the SSDSs installed and in operation at the Natus and PFS-N buildings are provided in Appendix A.

The basis for the SSDS design, installation details, inspection, monitoring, and maintenance procedures are discussed in detail for the Natus Building in the following:

- Vapor Intrusion Mitigation Report, Olympic Medical Facility, prepared by Farallon, September 10, 2009 (Farallon 2009d)
- Vapor Intrusion, Inspection, Monitoring, and Maintenance Work Plan, Olympic Medical, prepared by Farallon, November 2, 2009 (Farallon 2009c).

The basis for the SSDS design, installation details, inspection, monitoring, and maintenance procedures are discussed in detail for the PFS-N Building in the following:

- Vapor Intrusion, Inspection, Monitoring, and Maintenance Work Plan, Pacific Seafoods North Building (Farallon 2015b)
- Vapor Intrusion Mitigation Measures Status Report, Pacific Food Systems, Inc. North Building (Farallon 2017).

# 4.0 INSPECTION, MONITORING, AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

This section presents the inspection and monitoring procedures conducted at the Natus and PFS-N buildings during the 2020 period. The basis for the SSDS design, installation details, inspection, monitoring, and maintenance procedures are discussed in detail for the Natus and PFS-N buildings in the Site documents referenced in Section 3.

# 4.1 Inspections and Monitoring

Periodic inspection and monitoring is conducted to confirm that each building's SSDS is operating effectively. Inspection and monitoring of the SSDSs includes the following:

- General system component inspection
- Negative pressure field extension (PFE) monitoring
- Reviewing the onsite SSDS onsite operations documentation maintained by the trained tenant contact (PFS-N)
- Periodic air quality monitoring.

#### 4.1.1 Tenant Inspections

Inspections by each of the building tenants are conducted monthly, at a minimum, to ensure that the SSDSs are operating properly.

Each building tenant contacts the designated consultant and/or Capital personnel if the SSDSs are not operating properly. Contact information for Pacific Food Systems, Inc., Natus Medical Inc. (2020), Capital, and LAI is provided below. Natus Medical vacated their building in late 2020 and Capital is actively working with the property owner to designate a new contact for that building.

#### JSI Pacific, Inc. dba Pacific Food Systems, Inc.

Ms. Inna Guryevsky, Operations Manager 5815 Fourth Avenue South Seattle, Washington 98108 (206) 658-0382

#### **Natus Medical Building**

Mr. Carlos Quintanilla 5900 First Avenue South Seattle, Washington 98108 (206) 268-5166

#### Capital Industries, Inc.

Mr. Matt Loftis, Facilities and Environmental Manager 5801 Third Avenue South Seattle, Washington 98108 (206) 762-8585 Landau Associates, Inc. Mr. David Johnson, Project Engineer, or Ms. Jennifer Wynkoop, Project Principal 2107 South C Street Tacoma, Washington 98402 (253) 926-2493

#### 4.1.2 Annual Inspections

Annual inspections are conducted to observe and document the condition of each SSDS and to record changes to each building and surrounding area that could affect the performance of each SSDS. The annual inspection consists of observing and documenting the condition of the components for each SSDS, as well as any structural changes or modifications to each building, and adjacent buildings or structures, and recording each SSDS's current pressure gauge measurements. Previously documented pressure gauge measurements are used for comparison during the inspections. Photographs are taken during the inspection, as necessary, to document any deterioration of materials (e.g., cracks in piping, mounting damage) or other pertinent changes in the condition of each SSDS, each building structure, or other factors that could impact each system's operation or effectiveness.

#### 4.1.3 Pressure Field Extension Monitoring

Pressure Field Extension (PFE) monitoring is conducted at each building on a biannual basis (typically in March and September) to measure the pressure differential across each building slab while the SSDS is operating. The results from PFE monitoring are used to confirm that the negative pressure field extends across the designated mitigation area.

Five permanent subslab monitoring ports were installed in the building slab at the PFS-N Building for PFE monitoring. The subslab monitoring ports are flush-mounted to the building slab, and have a tamper-resistant cap. The subslab monitoring ports are used for PFE monitoring to verify the negative pressure field extends across the slab under the entire building. A negative pressure of 0.025 inches of water (IOW) column or more at each of the subslab monitoring ports is more than sufficient to demonstrate depressurization. The tamper-resistant cap secures the subslab monitoring port closed between PFE monitoring events to maintain the integrity of the depressurization applied by the SSDS. The location and details of the subslab monitoring ports are shown on Sheet Nos. 3 and 4 in Appendix A.

There are seven manometer pressure gauges at the Natus Building located at each SSDS extraction sump that are currently used for ongoing PFE monitoring. The pressure readings of each extraction sump location should be within a 25 percent reading of the currently applied SSDS overall system vacuum, which has historically been between 5-15 IOW vacuum.

#### 4.1.4 Air Quality Monitoring

Air quality monitoring is performed at the Natus and PFS-N buildings to provide air quality data that can be:

- Directly compared with previous VI Assessment data to evaluate the reduction in volatile COCs due to operation of the SSDSs;
- Used to adjust SSDSs operation parameters, if needed (Farallon 2015a); and
- Used to evaluate whether further action is necessary to protect human health.

Air quality monitoring is typically conducted semiannually in accordance with the VIIMM Work Plan (Farallon 2015b). Air samples collected during this reporting period were collected at the approximate sampling locations used during previous investigations/sampling events using 6-liter Summa canisters with flow controllers set to collect a sample over an 8-hour duration (see Figures 3 and 4). The indoor and outdoor air samples were analyzed for volatile COCs by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Method TO-15 Selected Ion Monitoring. All sampling was performed in accordance with the standard operating procedures established during completion of the Tier 3 VI Assessments (Farallon 2013) and the VIAMM Plan (Farallon 2015a).

# 4.2 System Evaluation and Optimization

Results from the air quality, PFE monitoring, groundwater monitoring, and/or annual inspections are evaluated to determine whether modifications to each SSDS are necessary. The SSDSs are reevaluated or modified to meet performance standards as warranted based on inspection and monitoring results. The following criteria are used to determine whether re-evaluation of each SSDS is warranted:

- Inspection results indicate a significant structural change in each building (e.g., remodeling that could introduce additional pathways for vapor intrusion);
- Inspection indicates the system is not meeting performance standards;
- Air quality monitoring results indicate an indoor air IPIMAL exceedance; and/or
- Groundwater sampling analytical results indicate a minimum tenfold increase in cumulative VI risk/hazard in the vicinity of each building, as defined in the VIAMM Plan (Farallon 2015a).

# 4.3 Subslab Depressurization System Maintenance

SSDS maintenance will be performed as needed based on conditions observed during system monitoring and/or optimization visits. Typical target maintenance items are described below.

The SSDSs components that may require maintenance include the exhaust blower, the pressure gauge, and piping. The exhaust blower is not amenable to periodic maintenance and is relatively easy to replace. Therefore, the blower will be operated until excessive noise, vibration, or significantly reduced pressure-gauge readings are noted, at which point the blower will be repaired or replaced.

An operational failure of the blower would be indicated by the pressure gauge, which is checked during monthly tenant inspections, annual inspections, and/or biannual monitoring. Pressure gauges may fail or become less accurate after prolonged use. The SSDS's pressure measurements collected during annual or biannual inspections and will be compared to the SSDSs pressure gauge readings. The SSDS's pressure gauge will be replaced when a measured reading deviates from the monitored SSDS's pressure by more than 25 percent. If pressure gauge failure is confirmed, a replacement pressure gauge will be installed and tested. Replacement of cracked or otherwise damaged system piping observed during annual inspections or identified by the building tenant may be required on an as needed basis. Ongoing regular SSDSs maintenance will be performed as needed without direct coordination or approval with Ecology. Proposed significant modifications to the SSDSs will be presented to Ecology for approval prior to proceeding with the work.

# 5.0 ANNUAL INSPECTION, MONITORING, AND MAINATENANCE RESULTS

The Natus SSDS start-up occurred in January 2009 and the PFS-N SSDS startup occurred in April 2015. During the 2020 operational period both SSDSs operated continuously with no significant changes from prior year operations. Operation parameters for each SSDSs are summarized in Table 2.

# 5.1 Inspection, Monitoring, and Maintenance Activities

Periodic inspections to monitor the SSDSs and adjust operations were conducted on:

- March 19, 2020 by Farallon personnel
- September 23, 2020 by LAI personnel.

The work elements cited in Section 4, Inspection, Monitoring, and Maintenance Procedures, were monitored and documented during each visit.

Air quality monitoring was conducted to evaluate whether the SSDSs were reducing HVOCs in indoor air. Sampling events were conducted on:

- March 19, 2020 by Farallon personnel
- September 23, 2020 by LAI personnel.

Each sampling event at each building included collecting indoor air samples and an outdoor ambient air sample. The approximate locations of the samples are depicted on Figure 3 and Figure 4. Sampling was conducted in general accordance with the procedures described in the VIIMM Work Plan (Farallon 2015b) and its supporting documents. Air quality monitoring parameters and results are summarized in Table 1. The laboratory analytical reports are provided in Appendix B.

The monitoring conducted in March and September 2020 at PFS-N and Natus also included 5-minute grab samples of the soil gas influent being extracted by each SSDS prior to discharge to the exhaust stack. The purpose of this sampling was to confirm that the discharge to outdoor ambient air would comply with Puget Sound Clean Air Agency regulations, and to compare concentrations of HVOCs in influent samples to outdoor air sampling results to evaluate whether the sources are related. Samples were collected using a 1-liter Summa canister at a sampling port located prior to entry to the SSDS blower. Samples were collected while the system was operating. A slight vacuum was maintained in the canister to mitigate potential loss of the sampled influent. Routine maintenance activities included inspection of each building's SSDS components during each 2020 Site visit.

### 5.2 Inspection, Monitoring, and Maintenance Results

Results from the air quality sampling and SSDSs operation monitoring results are described below. The air quality monitoring sample locations are depicted on Figure 3 and Figure 4. The sampling

parameters, IPIMALs, and results are summarized on Table 1. The IPIMALs<sup>1</sup> were used to evaluate sample results for both subslab soil gas<sup>2</sup> and indoor air. Table 2 provides a summary of SSDS operation parameters. The laboratory analytical reports are provided in Appendix B.

#### 5.2.1 **PFS-N Results**

Air quality monitoring results collected in 2020 indicated that COCs persisted in indoor air at concentrations similar to prior rounds of monitoring at PFS-N (Table 1). However, pressure measurements indicate the SSDS is maintaining the pressure field across the entire building slab. The consistent detections of COCs in indoor air while the SSDS is properly functioning indicate a background source of COCs in indoor air at PFS-N.

TCE concentrations detected at PFS-N on March 19, 2020 at two indoor sample locations (IA1 and IA8)<sup>3</sup> were 5.52 and 1.43  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. The PCE concentrations were 0.475 and 0.598  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The March cis-1,2-DCE concentrations were 2.09  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and non-detect. The trans-1,2-DCE concentrations were 0.287  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and non-detect. The 1,1-DCE concentrations were 0.0815  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and non-detect. The March vinyl chloride concentrations were non-detect at both IA1 and IA8 locations. The outdoor air sample collected in March was non-detect for all COCs except for trans-1,2-DCE, which was detected at 0.0311  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.

The September 23, 2020 TCE concentrations detected at three indoor sample locations at PFS-N ranged from 1.37 to 1.64  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and PCE concentrations ranged from non-detect to 0.561  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. No other COCs were detected in indoor air during September. The outdoor air sample collected in September was non-detect for all COCs.

PCE, trans-1,2-DCE, 1,1-DCE, and vinyl chloride results in 2020 did not exceed the indoor air IPIMALs of 7.5, 12, 39, and 0.66  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. However, TCE results exceeded the indoor air IPIMAL of 0.39  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> in both the March and September sampling events (Table 1).

The SSDS at PFS-N was measured in September at 3.8 IOW operating vacuum and 24.7 standard cubic feet per minute (SCFM) flowrate, resulting in a measured differential pressure vacuum range of 0.032 to 0.063 IOW at subslab monitoring ports SSMP-1 through SSMP-5. Table 2 provides a summary of SSDS operation parameters.

The SSDS soil gas influent samples were collected to evaluate the discharge from the system. PCE was detected at a concentration of 94.6  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (Table 1), and TCE at a concentration of 168  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. The commercial subslab soil gas IPIMALs for PCE and TCE are 250  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 13  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. The SSDS soil gas influent samples for both March and September 2020 did not exceed the soil gas

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The lower of the cancer and non-cancer IPIMALs were used for evaluation purposes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Subslab soil gas IPIMALs are calculated from the indoor air IPIMAL using an attenuation factor of 0.03.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> IA9 was not sampled in March of 2019.

IPIMALs for PCE but did for TCE. PCE and TCE SSDS influent samples have been consistent since the SSDS startup with a detected steady-state rate of removal from approximately 2016 to date.

#### 5.2.2 Natus Results

Air quality monitoring results collected in 2020 indicated that COCs were below their respective indoor air IPIMALs (Table 1) for TCE and PCE at the Natus Building. The outdoor ambient air sample collected in March did exceed the non-cancer IPIMALs for PCE but was non-detect for TCE. The September ambient outdoor air sample contained detectable concentrations of PCE but was below the IPIMALs, and TCE was non-detect.

The March 19, 2020 TCE concentrations detected at two indoor sample locations at Natus ranged from 0.176 to 0.213  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and PCE concentrations ranged from 0.411 to 0.734  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>; both were below their respective indoor air IPIMALs (Table 1). All other COCs during the March sampling period were non-detect except for trans-1,2-DCE, which was detected at a concentration of 0.0268  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> at sample location 5900-IA3. The outdoor air sample collected in March was non-detect for all COCs exceptPCE, which was detected at 8.83  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, exceeding the IPIMAL.

The September 23, 2020 TCE and PCE concentrations were non-detect at the two indoor sample locations for all COCs at Natus. The outdoor air sample collected on September 23, 2020 was non-detect for all COCs except PCE, detected at  $3.45 \ \mu g/m^3$ , which is below the IPIMAL (Table 1).

The SSDS at Natus was measured in March and September at 9.6 IOW operating vacuum and 250 and 258 SCFM flowrates, resulting in a measured vacuum range at the extraction sumps of 8.0 to 9.0 IOW. Table 2 provides a summary of SSDS operation parameters. An SSDS soil gas influent sample was collected to evaluate discharge from the system. TCE was detected at a concentration range of 0.511 to 0.525  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, and PCE at a concentration range of 0.596 to 1.41  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (Table 1). The SSDS soil gas influent samples for both March and September 2020 were below their respective commercial subslab soil gas IPIMALs for all COCs. The commercial subslab soil gas IPIMALs for PCE and TCE are 250  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 13  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. PCE and TCE SSDS influent samples at Natus have been consistent with a steady-state rate of removal from approximately 2017 to date based on available data. The SSDS vacuum blower at Natus was fully inspected during the 2020 Site visits and is still operating effectively within normal operating parameter ranges. The current vacuum blower is applying sufficient vacuum beneath the Natus Building slab resulting in ongoing effective depressurization and VI mitigation.

# 6.0 CONCLUSIONS

The PFS-N Building air quality monitoring results for PCE and TCE have fluctuated over time, with TCE concentrations continuing to exceed IPIMALs despite ongoing operation of the SSDS (Table 1 and Table 2; Figures 3). PFS-N SSDS soil gas influent sampling results indicate that the SSDS is effectively capturing PCE and TCE vapors from beneath the PFS-N Building slab (Table 1). Depressurization of the area beneath the PFS-N Building slab is confirmed by pressure field monitoring data, which confirm that depressurization of the slab is occurring, and exceeding the criteria established for the SSDS in the VIIMM Work Plan (Farallon 2015b) (Table 1).

The ongoing persistent source of TCE being detected within the PFS-N Building indoor air is unknown but appears to be related to a background TCE source within the building. Ongoing PFS-N inspection and monitoring visits have not identified cracks or penetrations in the floor slab. It also is possible that the building materials within the indoor air space of PFS-N contain a source of TCE from a historical release that has not been identified. Further investigation of the source of TCE at the PFS-N Building is recommended.

The Natus Building indoor air quality monitoring results for PCE and TCE concentrations were either non-detect or did not exceed their respective IPIMALs (Table 1 and Table 2; Figures 4). SSDS soil gas influent sampling results indicate that the SSDS is effectively capturing low level PCE and TCE vapors from beneath the Natus Building slab on an ongoing basis (Table 1). Depressurization of the area beneath the Natus Building slab was confirmed by pressure field monitoring data, which indicate ongoing depressurization of the slab is occurring (Table 2). The SSDS influent concentrations indicate the subslab vapor concentrations are not a risk for vapor intrusion as they are all below the subslab soil gas IPIMALs for all constituents when the SSDS is operating. Re-evaluation of the VI potential at Natus is recommended to determine if continued operation of the SSDS system is required.

The SSDS vacuum blower in operation at Natus is beyond its standard operational lifetime period and is scheduled to be replaced in 2021. During inspection and monitoring activities conducted during 2020 the SSDS vacuum blower was fully inspected and is still operating effectively within normal operating parameter ranges. Despite its age, the current vacuum blower is applying sufficient vacuum beneath the Natus Building slab resulting in ongoing effective depressurization and VI mitigation. If additional work conducted in 2021 determines that ongoing SSDS operations at Natus are necessary beyond 2021, the vacuum blower should be replaced with a similar unit to continue operations beyond 2021 and further investigations into the VI source may be warranted.

### 7.0 PLANNED WORK FOR 2021

SSDS operations will continue at both PFS-N and Natus buildings during the 2021 period, pending the additional investigation work recommended above. SSDS inspections, maintenance, and monitoring, including indoor/outdoor ambient air sampling, is scheduled to be conducted by LAI personnel in March and September 2021 at both PFS-N and Natus. The results of the inspections, maintenance, and monitoring of the SSDSs will be summarized in the 2021 annual VI mitigation status report. As part of 2021 operations, further evaluation will be conducted to determine the source of persistent TCE concentrations being detected at PFS-N on an ongoing basis in exceedance of the IPIMALs. Additional work will also be conducted to determine if the SSDS system at Natus can be shut down. If ongoing SSDS operations are determined necessary beyond 2021 at the Natus Building, it is expected that the vacuum blower currently in operation will be replaced with a similar unit to continue operations beyond 2021. A work plan for the additional work will be submitted for Ecology review. Results will be summarized in a report that will also provide recommendations for any revisions to the VI mitigation program deemed necessary.

## 8.0 USE OF THIS REPORT

This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Capital Industries and applicable regulatory agencies for specific application to the Capital Area of Investigation and Agreed Order No. DE 10402 Site. No other party is entitled to rely on the information, conclusions, and recommendations included in this document without the express written consent of LAI. Further, the reuse of information, conclusions, and recommendations provided herein for extensions of the project or for any other project, without review and authorization by LAI, shall be at the user's sole risk. LAI warrants that within the limitations of scope, schedule, and budget, our services have been provided in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the profession currently practicing in the same locality under similar conditions as this project. LAI makes no other warranty, either express or implied.

#### 9.0 **REFERENCES**

- Arrow Environmental, et al. 2007. *Draft Interim Vapor Intrusion Plan*. prepared for the Washington State Department of Ecology by Arrow Environmental; Aspect Consulting; Farallon Consulting, LLC; and Pacific Groundwater Group. May 1.
- Farallon. 2008. Vapor Intrusion Assessment Work Plan, Capital Industries, Inc., 5801 Third Avenue South, Seattle, Washington. Prepared for Ron Taylor, Capital Industries, Inc., by Farallon Consulting, LLC. September 16.
- Farallon. 2009a. Remedial Investigation Field Program First Phase Report, Capital Industries, Inc., 5801
   3rd Avenue South, Seattle, Washington. Prepared for Ron Taylor, Capital Industries, Inc., by
   Farallon Consulting, LLC. September 18.
- Farallon. 2009b. Vapor Intrusion Mitigation Work Plan, Olympic Medical Facility; Capital Industries,
   Inc., 5801 Third Avenue South, Seattle, Washington. Agreed Order No. DE 5348. Prepared for Ron
   Taylor, Capital Industries, Inc. by Farallon Consulting, LLC, Issaquah, Washington. March 9.
- Farallon. 2009c. Vapor Intrusion, Inspection, Monitoring, and Maintenance Work Plan; Olympic Medical Facility, 5900 First Avenue South, Seattle, Washington. Agreed Order No. DE 5348.
   Prepared for Ron Taylor, Capital Industries, Inc., by Farallon Consulting, LLC, Issaquah, Washington. November 2.
- Farallon. 2009d. Vapor Intrusion Mitigation Report; Olympic Medical Facilitiy, 5900 First Avenue South, Seattle, Washington. Agreed Order No. DE 5348. Prepared for Ron Taylor, Capital Industries, Inc., by Farallon Consulting, LLC, Issaquah, Washington. September 10.
- Farallon. 2013. Letter Regarding Capital Industries Site #11598755, Remedial Investigation Agreed
   Order #DE 5348, Tier 3 Vapor Intrusion Report for 5815 4th Ave. South, Seattle, WA. To Ron
   Taylor, Capital Industries, Inc., from Ed Jones, Farallon Consulting, LLC. July 5.
- Farallon. 2014a. 2014 Tier 3 Vapor Intrusion Assessment Report, 5814 4th Avenue South North Building, Seattle, Washington. Prepared for Ron Taylor, Capital Industries, Inc., August 20.
- Farallon. 2014b. Vapor Intrusion Mitigation Design Plan, 5815 4th Avenue South North Building, Seattle, Washington. Prepared for Ron Taylor, Capital Industries, Inc., by Farallon Consulting, LLC. November 10.
- Farallon. 2015a. *Revised Vapor Intrusion Assessment, Monitoring, and Mitigation Plan*, W4 Joint Deliverable, Seattle, Washington. Prepared for Ed Jones, Washington State Department of Ecology. November.
- Farallon. 2015b. Vapor Intrusion, Inspection, Monitoring, and Maintenance Work Plan, Pacific Seafoods, 5815 Fourth Avenue South – North Building, Seattle, Washington. Prepared for Ron Taylor, Capital Industries, Inc., by Farallon Consulting, LLC. February 2.
- Farallon. 2017. Vapor Intrusion Mitigation Measures Status Report; Pacific Food Systems, Inc. North Building, 5815 Fourth Avenue South; Seattle, Washington. Agreed Order No. DE 10402. Prepared for Ron Taylor, Capital Industries, Inc. by Farallon Consulting LLC, Issaquah, Washington. August.
- King County. Parcel Viewer. http://gismaps.kingcounty.gov/parcelviewer2/.
- Pioneer. 2012. Updated Air and Groundwater IPIMALs/VIRLs for Residential and Commercial Scenarios for the Georgetown Site. Prepared for the Washington State Department of Ecology by Pioneer Technologies Corporation. November 29.

PSC. 2002. *Revised Inhalation Pathway Interim Measures Work Plan*. Prepared for Washington State Department of Ecology by Philip Services Corporation. August.









# Table 1Summary of 2020 Air Quality Monitoring ResultsCapital Industries

			VOCs by EPA TO-15 SIM (μg/m3)									
Building	Sample Type	Sample Location	Sample Date	Sample Type	Laboratory SDG	Laboratory Sample ID	Tetrachloroethene	Trichloroethene	cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1,1-Dichloroethene	Vinyl Chloride
			(	Commercia	l Sub-Slab Soi	l Gas IPIMAL <sup>1,2</sup>	250	13	NA	400	1300	22
				Co	mmercial Ind	oor Air IPIMAL	7.5	0.39	NA	12	39	0.66
	Influent Grab	5815N-INFLUENT	3/19/2020				98.0	87.4	2.30	0.108	0.0357 U	0.217 U
	Sample	501511 111 202111	9/23/2020	Ν	2009385	2009385-005A	94.6	168	5.57	0.216	0.0357 U	0.217 U
	Indoor Air	5815N-IA1	3/19/2020				0.475	5.52	2.09	0.287	0.0815	0.217 U
Pacific Food			9/23/2020	Ν	2009385	2009385-001A	0.510	1.64	0.0793 U	0.0238 U	0.0357 U	0.217 U
Systems Inc		5815N-IA8	3/19/2020				0.598	1.43	0.0793 U	0.0238 U	0.0357 U	0.217 U
Systems, me.			9/23/2020	Ν	2009385	2009385-003A	0.339 U	1.37	0.0793 U	0.0238 U	0.0357 U	0.217 U
		5815N-IA9	9/23/2020	Ν	2009385	2009385-002A	0.339 U	1.54	0.0793 U	0.0238 U	0.0357 U	0.217 U
	Outdoor Air		3/19/2020				0.339 U	0.0914 U	0.0793 U	0.0311	0.0357 U	0.217 U
		3813N-0A1	9/23/2020	Ν	2009385	2009385-004A	0.339 U	0.0914 U	0.0793 U	0.0238 U	0.0357 U	0.217 U
	Influent Grab		3/19/2020				0.596	0.525	0.177	0.0238 U	0.0357 U	0.217 U
	Sample	5500-INI EOENT	9/23/2020	Ν	2009385	2009385-009A	1.41	0.511	0.0793 U	0.0238 U	0.0357 U	0.217 U
		5900-101	3/19/2020				0.411	0.213	0.0793 U	0.0238 U	0.0357 U	0.217 U
Natus Medical	Indoor Air	5500-IAI	9/23/2020	Ν	2009385	2009385-007A	0.339 U	0.0914 U	0.0793 U	0.0238 U	0.0357 U	0.217 U
Building		5900-143	3/19/2020				0.734	0.176	0.0793 U	0.0268	0.0357 U	0.217 U
		3300 IA3	9/23/2020	Ν	2009385	2009385-006A	0.339 U	0.0914 U	0.0793 U	0.0238 U	0.0357 U	0.217 U
	Outdoor Air	5900-042	3/19/2020				8.83	0.0914 U	0.0793 U	0.0238 U	0.0357 U	0.217 U
		5900-0A2	9/23/2020	Ν	2009385	2009385-0 <mark>0</mark> 8A	3.45	0.0914 U	0.0793 U	0.0238 U	0.0357 U	0.217 U

#### Notes:

(1) IPIMALs were developed for both cancer and non-cancer levels. For each constituent the lower level IPIMAL is shown (all but one of the non-cancer IPIMALs was lower than the cancer IPIMAL [vinyl chloride]).

(2) Soil gas IPIMALs were previously calculated using an attenuation factor of 0.1, however, Ecology guidance has been updated and current guidance uses an attenuation factor of 0.03 for calculation of sub slab soil gas screening levels.

Bold text indicates detected analyte

Green shading indicates detected analyte exceeds applicable cleanup or screening level

U = The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.

#### Acronyms and Abbreviations:

ID = Identification

IPIMAL = inhalation pathway interim measure action level

 $\mu g/m^3$  = micrograms per cubic meter

N = primary sample

NA = not applicable

SIM = selected ion monitoring

VOC = volatile organic compound

Y:\1933\1933\_001 Capital Industries\R\2020 Annual VI Mitigation Status Report\Tables\Table 1\_Air Quality Monitoring Results

Landau Associates

# Table 2Summary of 2020 Pacific Food Systems, Inc. North Building SSDS Operation ParametersCapital Industries

	Individual Pressure Gauge Vacuum Reading (IOW)					Pressure Gauge	Field-Measured		Pressure Gauge/Field-	Lab-Measu Concen (µg/	red Influent tration m <sup>3</sup> )	Remova (µg/	Il Rate <sup>2</sup> min)	Projecte Discl (Ibs/	d Annual narge year)
Date	SSMP-1	SSMP-2	SSMP-3 <sup>1</sup>	SSMP-4 <sup>1</sup>	SSMP-5 <sup>1</sup>	Vacuum Reading (IOW)	Operating Vacuum (IOW)	SVE System Flow (scfm)	Measured Pressure Differential (percent)	PCE	TCE	PCE	TCE	PCE	TCE
3/19/2020	0.04	0.018	0.005	0.02	0.005	3.6	4.2	24.7	117%	98	87.4	68.6	61.1	0.079	0.071
9/23/2020	0.063	0.032	0.047	0.054	0.043	3.6	3.8	24.7	106%	94.6	168	66.2	117.5	0.077	0.136
SSDS Operations	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025	<0.025		NA		75 - 125 percent		NA	A		1,000 II	os/year

#### Notes:

<sup>1</sup>Subslab monitoring ports SSMP-3 through SSMP-5 were installed in April 2018.

<sup>2</sup> Removal Rate = SVE flow \* Measured PCE or TCE concentration

#### Acronyms and Abbreviations:

% = percent	scfm = standard cubic feet per minute
IOW = inches of water	SSDS = subslab depressurization system
lbs = pounds	SSMP = subslab monitoring probe
μg = micrograms	SVE = soil vapor extraction
m <sup>3</sup> = cubic meter	TCE = trichloroethene
min = minute	VIMMWP = Vapor Intrusion, Inspection, Monitoring,
NA = not applicable	and Maintenance Work Plan
PCE = tetrachloroethene	

\\tacoma3\PROJECT\1933\1933\_001 Capital Industries\R\2020 Annual VI Mitigation Status Report\Tables\Table 2\_PFS SSDS Parameters

# Table 3Summary of 2020 Natus Building SSDS Operation ParametersCapital Industries

	Pressure Gauge Vacuum Reading (IOW)									Lab-Measured Influent Concentration (µg/m³)		Removal Rate <sup>1</sup> (µg/min)		Projected Annual Discharge (Ibs/year)	
Date	SSDS Extraction Sump 1	SSDS Extraction Sump 2	SSDS Extraction Sump 3	SSDS Extraction Sump 4	SSDS Extraction Sump 5	SSDS Extraction Sump 6	SSDS Extraction Sump 7	SSDS Vacuum (IOW)	SSSDS Flow (scfm)	PCE	TCE	PCE	TCE	PCE	TCE
3/19/2020	9.0	9.0	9.0	NM	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.6	250	0.596	0.525	4.2	3.7	0.005	0.004
9/23/2020	9.0	9.0	8.0	NM	9.0	9.0	9.0	9.6	258	1.41	0.511	10.3	3.7	0.012	0.004
VIMMWP SSDS Operations Goals	Within 25 percent of applied system vacuum at extraction sumps; or >0.005 IOW at any monitoring point beyond extraction sump							NA	NA		N	A		1,000 lk	os/year

#### Notes:

<sup>1</sup> Removal Rate = SVE flow \* Measured PCE or TCE concentration

#### Acronyms and Abbreviations:

IOW = inches of water	scfm = standard cubic feet per minute
lbs = pounds	SSDS = subslab depressurization system
μg = micrograms	SSMP = subslab monitoring probe
m <sup>3</sup> = cubic meter	SVE = soil vapor extraction
min = minute	TCE = trichloroethene
NA = not applicable	VIMMWP = Vapor Intrusion, Inspection, Monitoring,
NM = not measured	and Maintenance Work Plan
PCE = tetrachloroethene	

APPENDIX A

Subslab Depressurization System As-Built Schematics

# **OLYMPIC MEDICAL BUILDING City of Seattle Department of Planning & Development**

**Olympic Medical Building** 5900 1st. Avenue South Seattle, Washington 98108-3248

MECHANICAL ENGINEER:

MANDATORY CODE COMPLIANCE

VOC PIPING (VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND) CONTINUATION OF PIPING TEE DOWN TEE UP

ELBOW DOWN

EXHAUST FAN

ELBOW UP

CAPPED END former PLAN NOTE 0 SECTION DESIGNATION SHEET ON WHICH SECTON IS DRAWN

DETAIL DESIGNATION -DETAIL NUMBER -Sheet on which detail is drawn RD

RV RELIEF VENT RIU ROOF TOP LINE

AFF

BV

CU

FF

FA

IE

TYP

TYPICAL

RALL VENT

CONDENSING UNIT

FRESH AIR INTAKE

INVERT ELEVATION

EXHAUST FAN

ROOF DRAIN

VOC VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUND

ABOVE FINISHED FLOOR

VENT THRU ROOF VTR

- EQUIPMENT AND MATERIAL REMOVED SHALL BECOME PROPERTY OF THE CON-TRACTOR UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE AND SHALL BE REMOVED OFF-SITE.
- DRAWINGS ARE PARTLY DIAGRAMMATIC AND DON'T NECESSARILY SHOW EXACT CONDITIONS OF CONSTRUCTION. LOCATION OF PIPING SHALL BE FIELD VERFIED TO DETERMINE THAT IT CLEARS ALL OPENINGS AT STRUCTURAL MEMBERS, CHASES AND THAT EQUIPMENT, ETC. HAVING FIXED LOCATIONS WILL BE CLEARED.
- 3. EXAMINE PREMISES AND BECOME FAMILIAR WITH EXISTING CONDITIONS BEFORE STARTING WORK. FIELD VERIFY CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS OF FLOORS, WALLS, CELLINGS AND ROOFS BEFORE STARTING WORK, OTHER EXISTING MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT ARE NOT SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS SUCH AS DUCTWORK, HAVE EQUIPMENT, HEATING PIPING, GAS PIPING, CONDUT, ETC. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD VERIFY LOCATIONS AND SIZES OF EXISTING MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT AND SHOLL OFFSET NEW PIPING AS NECESSARY AROUND EXISTING MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT.
- 4. REMOVE MEANS, REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF UNLESS SPECIFICALLY DIRECTED OTHERWISE.
- DO NOT ALLOW ANY WORK (PIPING/EQUIPMENT) TO BE COVERED UP OR ENCLOSED UNTIL INSPECTED, TESTED AND APPROVED BY AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION AND/OR THE OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE.
- 6. ALL EDUIPMENT SHALL BE INSTALLED IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS, WHERE CONFLICTS OCCUR, BRING THOSE TO THE ATTENTION OF THE DESIGN ENCINEER IMMEDIATELY AND BEFORE INSTALLING THE PIECE OF EQUIPMENT OR ITEM.
- 7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL NECESSARY SAW CUTTING AND CORE DRILLING AND PATCHING OF FLOORS, WALLS, CEILINGS AND ROOFS IN ORD TO INSTALL THE NEW VOC SYSTEMS.
- 8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ARRANGE AND PAY FOR ALL PERMITS, INSPECTIONS AND FEES REQUIRED IN CONNECTION WITH THIS PROJECT.

	and and a second second
14-1	COMEN SHEET
11-2	SHE FLAN
M7	ROCK PLAN
Mard	METAUS & UPME



63











DETAILS & SCHEDDLE



SHEET NO .



SOUTH FIDALGO STREET



PLOT SCALE 1/4=1'-0"

HUNOS

151





INSTALLATION

 PIPE INVERT ELEVATION AT THIS APPROXIMATE LOCATION IS 12 INCHES ABOVE ROOF LEVEL.

PIPE INVERT ELEVATION AT THIS APPROXIMATE LOCATION IS 22 INCHES ABOVE ROOF LEVEL.

③ PIPE INVERT ELEVATION AT PIPE TEE AT THIS APPROXIMATE LOCATION IS 35 INCHES ABOVE ROOF LEVEL.

 PIPE INVERT ELEVATION AT INLET CONNECTION TO BLOWER FAN IS 38 INCHES ABOVE ROOF LEVEL

FAN IS 38 INCHES ABOVE ROOF LEVEL (5) CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ROOF PIPE SUPPORT SADDLES A MINIMUM OF EVERY & FEET FOR PIPE SUPPORT ON ROOF. PIPING ON ROOF SLOPES 1/2" PER FOOT. PIPE SADDLE SUPPORT HEIGHTS VARY, CONTRACTOR SHALL FIELD VERIFY DURING INSTALLATION OF PIPE SADDLE SUPPORTS THE EXACT HEIGHTS FOR EACH SUPPORT SADDLE INSTALLED ON ROOF AS REQUIRED.

(6) SEE DETAIL SHEET M4 FOR SUMP INSTALLATIONS SUMP ₫1 THROUGH SUMP ₫7.



 JOB NO.:
 6198563

 DATE:
 11/07/08

 DRAWN:
 \_\_\_\_\_\_

 CHECKED:
 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

SHEET NO .:


-				-	1 Year Sec.		ve ulu	the aut	ELULE				
JNIT #	BRAND NAME	MODEL #	MOTOR ENCLOSURE SHAFT MATERIAL	HP	VOLTAGE	PHASE- FREQUENCY	INSULATION CLASS	NEMA RATED MOTOR AMPS	SERVICE FACTOR	LOCKED ROTOR AMPS	MAXIMUM BLOWER AMPS	RECOMMENDED NEMA STARTER SIZE	SHIPPING
1 ROTRON DR656K72X TEFC-CS 3 230/460 3-60-Hz F 7.4/3.7 1.15 54/27 8.8/4.4 0/0	0/0	114 16.											
				1			1						1
													1.2
NOTES: MAX MAX HOU & FI INLE	IMUM FLOW: 21 IUMUM VACUUM SING, IMPELLER LANCES (THREA T & OUTLET IN	D SCFM; MAJ 1: 6.39"Hg (E & COVER, C DED); PERM/ TERNAL MUFT	I XIMUM PRESSURE: 101 17 IWG); CAST ALUMIN XAST IRON MUFFLER E WENTLY SEALED BALL TUNG.	S IWG IUM E XTEN. BEA	SLOWER SIDN RINGS;	2. MANL KEN Intern 2. REGE NON	IFACTURED BY T, OHIO 44240 net: www.ome NERATIVE BLO ~RESIDENTIAL	 AMETEK TECHN 0 ⊕¬mail: rolr lektmd.com WER MOTOR TO ENERGY CODE 1	ICAL AND onindustric BE HIGH EL EFFICIENCY	 INDUSTRIAL PR alGametek.com FFICIENCY. BLO REQUIREMENTS	DDUCTS WER TO MEET 2 AS A MINIMUM.	006	L



1. 11 F 7.88 - 14-12"



SCALE 1/4" =1"-0"



10168 102" - Put

-PROVIDE ROOF FLASHING AND MAKE WATER TIGHT W/SEALANT

-FIRE SPRINKLER LINES

-SEAL WITH WATER-PROOF SEALANT







# SUB-SLAB DEPRESSURIZATION SYSTEM

PACIFIC FOOD SYSTEMS - NORTH BUILDING 5815 4TH AVE SOUTH SEATTLE, WA 98108



DRAWING INDEX

SHEET NO.	DRAWING TITL
1	TITLE SHEET, SITE LOC
2	GENERAL NOTES, LEGE
3	SITE PLAN WITH SUB-SI
4	DETAILS



PREPARED FOR CAPITAL INDUSTRIES, INC. 5801 3RD AVE. SOUTH SEATTLE, WA 98108

LE

CATION MAP, AND DRAWING INDEX

END, SYMBOLS, AND ABBREVIATIONS

LAB DEPRESSURIZATION SYSTEM

SUB-SLAB DEPRESSURIZATION SYSTEM

TITLE SHEET, SITE LOCATION MAP, AND DRAWING INDEX

AS SHOWN	
PROJECT NO 457-007	Э.
FILE NAME: SYSTEM.dwg	
SHEET NO.	OF
1	4

ELECT	RICAL ABBREVIATIONS			STAN	DARD ABBREVIATIONS				PIPING, EL
		AF AIR F	FILTER	HDPE	HIGH DENSITY POLYETHYLENE				
A/AMP	AMP	AB AGG		HORIZ	HORIZONTAL	PSI	POUNDS PER SQUARE INCH		— GATE VALVE ——
AC	ALTERNATING CURRENT	APPROX APPR	ROXIMATELY	HR	HORSEPOWER/HIGH PRESSURE	PSIA	POUNDS PER SQUARE INCH, ABSOLUTE		— GLOBE VALVE
BD	BUS DUCT	AF AIR F		HS	HOSE	PSIG	PRESSURE TREATMENT		— BALL VALVE
C	CURRENT	BF BLIN	D FLANGE	HOA	HAND OFF AUTOMATIC	PVC	POLYVINYL CHLORIDE		- BUTTERFLY VALVE
СВ	CIRCUIT BREAKER	B.G.S. BELC	DW GROUND SURFACE	ID		PV PR	PAIR		
CLG	CEILING	BOP BOT	TOM OF PIPE	IN	INCHES	PUE	PUBLIC UTILITY EASEMENT	7	
DC	DIRECT CURRENT	BV BALL		INV	INVERT	R	RADIUS/RISER		DIAPHRAGM OPERATED VALVE
DIS		CPLG COU	PLING	C		RC	REINFORCED CONCRETE	S	
DT	DOUBLE THROW			JB	JUNCTION BOX	REF	REFERENCE		- SOLENOID VALVE
FG	ENCLOSED AND GASKETED			КО	KNOCK OUT	SCH	SCHEDIILE	(M)	
E(OH)	ELECTRICAL (OVERHEAD)	/DIA DIAM	IETER	LSHH	LEVEL SWITCH	SDR	STANDARD DIMENSION RATIO		— MOTOR OPERATED VALVE
E(UG) EMER	ELECTRICAL (UNDERGROUND)		WING PHASE	M	MOTOR	SECT	SECTION		
EPO	EMERGENCY POWER OFF	DPI DIFF	ERENTIAL PRESSURE INDICATOR	MAX MH	MAXIMUM MANHOLE	SPEC	SPECIFICATION		
EMT	ELECTRICAL METALLIC TUBING	EF EACH	H FACE	MJ	MECHANICAL JOINT	SQ	SQUARE	Y N	DRAIN
2,4		EL/ELEV ELEV		MISC	MINUTE/MINIMOM MISCELLANEOUS	STD	STANDARD	1D	
FBO FLEX	FURNISHED BY OTHERS	ELB ELBC	DW	MNPT	MALE NATIONAL PIPE THREAD	STL			-) WELD CAP
FRN	DUAL ELEMENT FUSE	EPDM ETHY EXIST/(E) EXIS	YLENE PROPYLENE RUBBER	MP MON.PORT	MONITORING PORT	ST	SAMPLE TAP		3 SCREWED CAP
GEN	GENERATOR	EXP EXP	ANSION	MW	MONITORING WELL	STR	STRAINER STAINI ESS STEEL		
GFIC	GROUND FAULT INTERRUPTER	EW EACH	H WAY	NC	NORMALLY CLOSED	STL	STEEL		- FLANGE
GND GRC	GROUND GALVANIZED RIGID CONDUIT	EC EAU	CLOSE	NIC		SVE	SOIL VAPOR EXTRACTION		
0.10		FO FAIL	OPEN	NO.	NUMBER	0.11			
HOA	HAND-OFF-AUTO SWITCH	FLXC FLEX		N	NEW NOT TO SCALE	TYP	TYPICAL TOP OF CASING/CURB		
IRD	INFRARED DETECTOR	FL FLOV	W LINE	NPDES	NATIONAL POLLUTION DISCHARGE	TOS	TOP OF STEEL		
HP	HORSE POWER	FT FOO	TIRE	00		TOW	TOP OF WALL		
HZ	CYCLES PER SECOND	FIN GR FINIS	SHED GRADE	OD	OUTSIDE DIAMETER	UBC	UNIFORM BUILDING CODE		- FLEXIBLE PIPE COUPLING
JB	JUNCTION BOX	FE FLAN FNPT FEM/	IGED END ALE NATIONAL PIPE THREAD	OSHA	OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND	UGPS UTIL	UNDERGROUND PULL SECTION UTILITY		- BLOWER OR FAN
LEMO		GA GAU	GE	OVHD	OVERHEAD	V			
LFINIC	METAL CONDUIT	GAC GRAI	NULAR ACTIVATED CARBON	#/LB	POUND	VÁC	VACUUM		CENTRIFUGAL PUMP
м	MOTOR/MOTOR STARTER COIL	GALV GAL\ GI GAL\	VANIZED VANIZED IRON	PB	PULL BOX	VAR VERT	VARIES/VARIABLE VERTICAL		
MCC	MOTOR CONTROL CENTER	GPM GALL	ONS PER MINUTE	PBF	PROVIDED BY FARALLON PORTLAND CEMENT	VP	VAPOR		PITOT TUBE
MCP	MOTOR CIRCUIT PROTECTOR	GR GRAI		PCC	PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE	VRV		· ·	STRAINER
NC	NORMALLY CLOSED	GSKT GASI	KET	PG PL	PRESSURE GAS PROPERTY LINE/PIPE LINE	W/O	WITHOUT		
NEC	NATIONAL ELECTRIC CODE	GW GRO GV GATE	UNDWATER E VALVE	PO	PUMP OUT	WS	WATER SURFACE/WATER STOP		TRAP
	MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION			P	PRESSURE				
NF NO	NON-FUSED NORMALLY OPEN	INST	TRUMENTATION ABBR	FVIATIONS	SAND SYMBOLS			AF)	- FILTER
OL	OVERLOADS						STANDARD SYMBOLS		
PBS		INSTRU	JMENT LEGEND		INSTRUMENT SYMBOLS	s		,	
PL	PILOT LIGHT						4 DE TAIL NOMBER	ζ.	
PLC	PROGRAMMABLE LOGIC CONTROLLER	FIRST LETTER	SUCCEEDING LETTERS	SYMBOL	DESCRIPTION		CURRENT 36 REFERENCED		
RC RCPT	RIGID CONDUIT RECEPTACLE				MOTOR				1. A COPY OF THE PROJECT D
					MOTOR				
SN	SOLID NEUTRAL SINGLE POLE				HAND-OFF-AUTO				2. COPIES OF ALL PERMITS SH
ST	SINGLE THROW	B BURNER	ALARM	HOA	SELECTOR SWITCH				ALL PERMIT REQUIREMENT
SW	SWITCH	C CONDUCTIVITY	CONTROL	_					3. CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RE
TF/TRAN	TRANSFORMER	E POTENTIAL (VOLTS	) PRIMARY ELEMENT		LOCALLY MOUNTED				
UF	UNDERFLOOR	F FLOW RATE	RATIO (FRACTION)		INSTRUMENT				4.BORIED UTILITIES SHOWING APPROXIMATE AND MAY NO
UG	UNDERGROUND	H HAND (MANUALLY)	HIGH						
V	VOLTS	I CURRENT (AMPERE	ES) INDICATE		CONTROL PANEL				5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL F
VFD	VARIABLE FREQUENCY DRIVE	K TIME			MOUNTED INSTRUMENT				FOUND BETWEEN EXISTING
VP	VAPOR PROOF								
WHT		N EQUIPMENT STATU	S		INTERLOCK				6.FARALLON SHALL BE NOTIF
VVF		P PRESSURE/VACUU	M POINT (TEST CONNECTION	1)			DE N. MO		7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL A
XP	EXPLOSION PROOF	R	RECORD/PRINT				OF WASE		PERSONS AND PROPERTY
		S SPEED	SWITCH		PLC SHUTDOWN ALARM		The Or washing the		STRUCTURES, UTILITIES, A
		U MULTIVARIABLE	MULTIFUNCTION						NORMAL WORKING HOURS
		V VIBRATION/VOLUME	E VALVE/DAMPER						
		X UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED			▌			8. ALL EXCAVATIONS SHALL B
		Y Z POSITION	RELAY/COMPUTE			1			ACT (WISHA) REGULATIONS
			DRIVE/AGTUATE			2			OPERATIONS.

9. NO TRENCHES SHALL BE LEFT OPEN WHEN WORK IS NOT IN PROGRESS. ALL OPEN EXCAVATIONS SHALL BE FENCED.

	Washington Issaquah   Bellingham   Seattle	
	Oregon Portland   Bend	
FARALLON	California Oakland   Sacramento   Irvine	
Quality Service for Environment	al Solutions   farallonconsulting.com	

9/25/14	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	CM/DEW	СМ	
DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY	CKD.	APF

#### LECTRICAL AND EQUIPMENT SYMBOLS



DESIGN DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS SHALL BE MAINTAINED ON THE JOB SITE AT ALL TIMES.

SHALL BE MAINTAINED ON THE JOB SITE AT ALL TIMES. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COMPLY WITH

RESPONSIBLE FOR VERIFYING ALL DIMENSIONS.

ON THE DRAWINGS ARE FOR GENERAL INFORMATION ONLY. UTILITY LOCATIONS ARE IOT BE INCLUSIVE OF ALL UTILITIES THAT EXIST ON THE PROPERTY.

HAVE A PRIVATE UTILITY LOCATE SERVICE VERIFY ALL UTILITIES AND MARK THEIR LOCATIONS ON TARTING CONSTRUCTION. FARALLON SHALL BE CONTACTED IMMEDIATELY IF A CONFLICT IS G UTILITIES AND THE PROJECT DESIGN.

FIED OF DISCREPANCIES BETWEEN CONTRACT DRAWINGS AND ACTUAL SITE CONDITIONS.

ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE JOB SITE CONDITIONS AND ENSURE THE SAFETY OF ALL FOR THE DURATION OF ON SITE PROJECT WORK. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROTECT AND PAVING FROM DAMAGE, DIRECT OR INDIRECT, RESULTING FROM THE WORK. THIS PLY CONTINUOUSLY OVER THE DURATION OF ON SITE ACTIVITIES AND NOT BE LIMITED TO 5.

BE PERFORMED IN STRICT ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR ND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION (OSHA) AND THE WASHINGTON INDUSTRIAL SAFETY AND HEALTH IS. THE CONTRACTOR ASSUMES FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE SAFETY OF ALL CONSTRUCTION

PREPARED FOR

CAPITAL INDUSTRIES,INC. 5801 3RD AVE. SOUTH SEATTLE, WA 98108 SUB-SLAB DEPRESSURIZATION SYSTEM AS SHOWN

GENERAL NOTES, LEGEND,SYMBOLS, AND ABBREVIATIONS AS SHOWN PROJECT NO. 457-007 FILE NAME: SYSTEM.dwg SHEET NO. OF

4





11/3/14	REISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	ROL/DEW	СМ	
9/25/14	ISSUED FOR CLIENT REVIEW	CM/DEW	RM	
DATE	DESCRIPTION	BY	CKD.	APP.



CAPITAL INDUSTRIES, INC. 5801 3RD AVE, SOUTH SEATTLE, WA 98108

SUB-SLAB DEPRESSURIZATION SYSTEM AS SHOWN

PROJECT NO. 457-007 FILE NAME: SYSTEM.dwg SHEET NO. OF 4

4

SCALE

DET	AILS
-----	------

APPENDIX B

# **Analytical Laboratory Reports**



3600 Fremont Ave. N. Seattle, WA 98103 T: (206) 352-3790 F: (206) 352-7178 info@fremontanalytical.com

Farallon Consulting Jen Moore 975 5th Ave NW Issaquah, WA 98027

RE: Capital Industries Work Order Number: 2003352

March 30, 2020

#### Attention Jen Moore:

Fremont Analytical, Inc. received 4 sample(s) on 3/20/2020 for the analyses presented in the following report.

#### Volatile Organic Compounds-EPA Method TO-15 (SIM)

This report consists of the following:

- Case Narrative
- Analytical Results
- Applicable Quality Control Summary Reports
- Chain of Custody

All analyses were performed consistent with the Quality Assurance program of Fremont Analytical, Inc. Please contact the laboratory if you should have any questions about the results.

Thank you for using Fremont Analytical.

Sincerely,

Brianna Barnes Project Manager

DoD/ELAP Certification #L17-135, ISO/IEC 17025:2005 ORELAP Certification: WA 100009-007 (NELAP Recognized)



CLIENT: Project: Work Order:	Farallon Consulting Capital Industries 2003352	Work Order Sample Summa					
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Date/Time Collected	Date/Time Received				
2003352-001	5815N-IA8-031920	03/19/2020 4:50 PM	03/20/2020 2:25 PM				
2003352-002	5815N-OA1-031920	03/19/2020 5:10 PM	03/20/2020 2:25 PM				
2003352-003	5815N-IA1-031920	03/19/2020 4:51 PM	03/20/2020 2:25 PM				
2003352-004	5815N-INFLUENT-031920	03/19/2020 10:00 AM	03/20/2020 2:25 PM				



**Case Narrative** 

WO#: 2003352 Date: 3/30/2020

CLIENT:Farallon ConsultingProject:Capital Industries

I. SAMPLE RECEIPT:

Samples receipt information is recorded on the attached Sample Receipt Checklist.

II. GENERAL REPORTING COMMENTS: Air samples are reported in ppbv and ug/m3.

The validity of the analytical procedures for which data is reported in this analytical report is determined by the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) and the Method Blank (MB). The LCS and the MB are processed with the samples to ensure method criteria are achieved throughout the entire analytical process.

III. ANALYSES AND EXCEPTIONS:

Exceptions associated with this report will be footnoted in the analytical results page(s) or the quality control summary page(s) and/or noted below.

Standard temperature and pressure assumes 24.45 = (25C and 1 atm).

# **Qualifiers & Acronyms**



WO#: 2003352 Date Reported: 3/30/2020

### Qualifiers:

- \* Flagged value is not within established control limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- D Dilution was required
- E Value above quantitation range
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- I Analyte with an internal standard that does not meet established acceptance criteria
- J Analyte detected below Reporting Limit
- N Tentatively Identified Compound (TIC)
- Q Analyte with an initial or continuing calibration that does not meet established acceptance criteria
- (<20%RSD, <20% Drift or minimum RRF)
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- ND Not detected at the Reporting Limit
- R High relative percent difference observed

Acronyms:

%Rec - Percent Recovery **CCB** - Continued Calibration Blank CCV - Continued Calibration Verification **DF** - Dilution Factor HEM - Hexane Extractable Material **ICV** - Initial Calibration Verification LCS/LCSD - Laboratory Control Sample / Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate MB or MBLANK - Method Blank MDL - Method Detection Limit MS/MSD - Matrix Spike / Matrix Spike Duplicate PDS - Post Digestion Spike Ref Val - Reference Value **RL** - Reporting Limit **RPD** - Relative Percent Difference SD - Serial Dilution SGT - Silica Gel Treatment SPK - Spike Surr - Surrogate



Client:Farallon ConsultingWorkOrder:2003352Project:Capital Industries											
Client Sample	ID:	5815N-IA8-0319	20				Date Sa	ampled: 3/19/2	2020		
Lab ID: 2003352-001A		2003352-001A					Date Received: 3/20/2020			20	
Sample Type: Summa Cani		Summa Canister	-								
Analyte			Concen	tration	Reportir	ıg Limit	Qual	Method	Date/Analy	vst	
Volatile Organ	nic Com	pounds-EPA Met	hod TO-15	5 (SIM)							
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)					
1,1-Dichloroethene (DCE)		<0.00900	<0.0357	0.00900	0.0357		EPA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD		
cis-1.2-Dichloroethene		<0.0200	<0.0793	0.0200	0.0793		EPA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD		

cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.0200	<0.0793	0.0200	0.0793	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.0882	0.598	0.0500	0.339	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.00600	<0.0238	0.00600	0.0238	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.266	1.43	0.0170	0.0914	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD
Vinyl chloride	<0.0850	<0.217	0.0850	0.217	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	103 %Rec		70-130		EPA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD



Client: WorkOrder: Project:	Farallo 200335 Capital	on Consulting 52 Industries								
Client Sample	e ID:	5815N-OA1-031	920				Date Sam	pled: 3/19/2	2020	
Lab ID:		2003352-002A					Date Rece	ived: 3/20/2	2020	
Sample Type:	:	Summa Caniste	r							
Analyte			Conce	ntration	Reportir	ng Limit	Qual	Method	Date/Analy	st
<u>Volatile Orga</u>	nic Com	pounds-EPA Me	thod TO-1	<u>5 (SIM)</u>						
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)				
1,1-Dichloroethe	ene (DCE)		<0.00900	<0.0357	0.00900	0.0357	E	PA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD
cis-1,2-Dichloroe	ethene		<0.0200	<0.0793	0.0200	0.0793	E	PA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD
Tetrachloroethe	ne (PCE)		<0.0500	<0.339	0.0500	0.339	E	PA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD
trans-1,2-Dichlo	roethene		0.00784	0.0311	0.00600	0.0238	E	PA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD

0.0170

0.0850

70-130

0.0238

0.0914

0.217

---

<0.0170

< 0.0850

96.5 %Rec

< 0.0914

<0.217

--

Trichloroethene (TCE)

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Vinyl chloride

EPA-TO-15SIM 03/27/2020

EPA-TO-15SIM 03/27/2020

EPA-TO-15SIM 03/27/2020

AD

AD

AD



Client: WorkOrder: Project:	<b>Farallo</b> 200335 Capital	<b>n Consulting</b> 2 Industries								
Client Sample	ID:	5815N-IA1-03192	0				Date Sa	ampled: 3/19/2	2020	
Lab ID:		2003352-003A					Date Re	eceived: 3/20/2	2020	
Sample Type:		Summa Canister								
Analyte			Conce	entration	Reportir	ng Limit	Qual	Method	Date/Analy	st
Volatile Organ	ic Com	oounds-EPA Meth	iod TO-	1 <u>5 (SIM)</u>						
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)				
1,1-Dichloroether	ne (DCE)		0.0206	0.0815	0.00900	0.0357		EPA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD

	0.0200	0.0015	0.00900	0.0357	LFA-10-1331W	03/21/2020	AD
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.527	2.09	0.0200	0.0793	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.0701	0.475	0.0500	0.339	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.0725	0.287	0.00600	0.0238	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD
Trichloroethene (TCE)	1.03	5.52	0.0170	0.0914	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD
Vinyl chloride	<0.0850	<0.217	0.0850	0.217	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	99.0 %Rec		70-130		EPA-TO-15SIM	03/27/2020	AD



Client: WorkOrder: Project:	Farallo 200335 Capital	<b>n Consulting</b> 2 Industries					
Client Sample Lab ID: Sample Type:	e ID:	5815N-INFLUEN 2003352-004A Summa Canister	Г-031920		Date Sar Date Rec	npled: 3/19 ceived: 3/20	/2020 /2020
Analyte			Concentration	Reporting Limit	Qual	Method	Date/Analyst
Volatile Organ	nic Com	ounds-EPA Meth	od TO-15 (SIM)				

	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)			
1,1-Dichloroethene (DCE)	<0.00900	<0.0357	0.00900	0.0357	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.580	2.30	0.0200	0.0793	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	14.4	98.0	0.0500	0.339	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.0271	0.108	0.00600	0.0238	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Trichloroethene (TCE)	16.3	87.4	0.170	0.914	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Vinyl chloride	<0.0850	<0.217	0.0850	0.217	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	114 %Rec		70-130		EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD

Fremont
Analytical

Work Order: 2003352								QCS	SUMMA	RY REF	PORT
CLIENT: Farallon Co	onsulting				V	/alatila O	raonio (	Compounde			E (QIM)
Project: Capital Indu	ustries				v	olatile O	rganic C	ompounds			5 (511VI
Sample ID: LCS-R58313	SampType: LCS			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	te: 3/27/20	20	RunNo: 583	13	
Client ID: LCSW	Batch ID: R58313					Analysis Da	te: 3/27/20	20	SeqNo: 116	5312	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Vinyl chloride	2.18	0.0850	2.000	0	109	70	130				
1,1-Dichloroethene (DCE)	1.77	0.00900	2.000	0	88.3	70	130				
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.86	0.00600	2.000	0	92.8	70	130				
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.65	0.0200	2.000	0	82.6	70	130				
Trichloroethene (TCE)	1.76	0.0170	2.000	0	88.0	70	130				
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	1.90	0.0500	2.000	0	95.0	70	130				
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	4.15		4.000		104	70	130				
Sample ID: MB-R58313	SampType: MBLK			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	te: 3/27/20	20	RunNo: 583	13	
Client ID: MBLKW	Batch ID: R58313					Analysis Da	te: 3/27/20	20	SeqNo: 116	5313	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.0850									
1,1-Dichloroethene (DCE)	ND	0.00900									
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.00600									
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.0200									
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.0170									
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.0500									
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	3.46		4.000		86.4	70	130				
Sample ID: 2003352-001AREP	SampType: <b>REP</b>			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	te: 3/27/20	20	RunNo: 583	13	
Client ID: 5815N-IA8-031920	Batch ID: R58313					Analysis Da	te: 3/27/20	20	SeqNo: 116	5317	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.0850						0		30	
1,1-Dichloroethene (DCE)	ND	0.00900						0		30	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.00600						0		30	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.0200						0		30	
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.272	0.0170						0.2662	2.23	30	
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.0652	0.0500						0.08823	30.0	30	





Work Order:	2003352									00.9	SUMMAR		ORT
CLIENT:	Farallon Co	nsulting					_						
Project:	Capital Indu	istries					V	olatile O	rganic C	Compounds	S-EPA Metl	nod TO-1	5 (SIM)
Sample ID: 20033	52-001AREP	SampType	REP			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	te: 3/27/20	)20	RunNo: 583	13	
Client ID: 5815N	I-IA8-031920	Batch ID:	R58313					Analysis Da	te: 3/27/20	)20	SeqNo: 116	5317	
Analyte			Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	uorobenzene		3.90		4.000		97.6	70	130		0		



## Sample Log-In Check List

	WOR Order Num	ber: 2003352	
Logged by: Carissa True	Date Received:	3/20/2020	) 2:25:00 PM
Chain of Custody			
1. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	Not Present
2. How was the sample delivered?	Courier		
Log In			
3. Coolers are present?	Yes	No 🔽	
	Air samples		
4. Shipping container/cooler in good condition?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
<ol> <li>Custody Seals present on shipping container/cooler? (Refer to comments for Custody Seals not intact)</li> </ol>	Yes	No 🗹	Not Required
6. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes	No	NA 🗹
7. Were all items received at a temperature of >2°C to 6°C *	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹
8. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🔽	No 🗌	
9. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
10. Are samples properly preserved?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
11. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes	No 🗹	NA 🗌
12. Is there headspace in the VOA vials?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🔽
13. Did all samples containers arrive in good condition(unbroken)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
14. Does paperwork match bottle labels?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
15. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
16. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
17. Were all holding times able to be met?	Yes 🗹	No	
Special Handling (if applicable)			
18. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
Person Notified: Jen Moore Date	2:	3/20/2020	
By Whom: Emiko Mar Via:	eMail 🗌 Ph	none 🗌 Fax	🗌 In Person
Regarding: Canister containing 5815N-INFLUENT	-031920		
Client Instructions: 15893 - See updated COC			

19. Additional remarks:

Item Information

<sup>\*</sup> Note: DoD/ELAP and TNI require items to be received at 4°C +/- 2°C

CITER AND				Air Ct	vain of	Custo	2	PO	ġ	2	20	23	5	orat	nrv Services	Agreement
Fren	seattle	MA 98103 16-352-3790	21	5		_		-		-	abore	itory	Proj	ect No (Inte	mal): 2003	352
	Traiguitecal Fax: 20	06-352-7178	ate: X	C-DYP1		Page:	of:	-			peci	al Re	mari	ks * a	ly Analyze for	following: 12 of
client: Farallon		Pr	oject No:	1-134	Nox .	(				1	0	211	1	1 chi	151,2-02E,4	trans 1,2000
Address: 975 Sth Ave	NW	6	cation:	eathe.	A.S.						1	-	č	in a	ne viny 1 C	hioride
City, State, Zip: Tomural	WA 9802-	7	ollected by:	Zyan,	Pf	12-M										
Telephone: 425-295-02	Se ,	Re	ports to (PM):	t	Moore					2 Þ	r san herv	iples	are	disposed of ested.	of one week after report is su	ubmitted to client unless Hold (fees may apply)
Fax: 425-295-0	850	5	nail (PM): J.V	INPEREAL	AALSNICO	A 1500 TI Lici	Com									
				Internal				9	-	naiys	- G	-1		-		Internal
Sample Name	Canster / Flow Sample Date & Reg Serial # Time	Sample Type C (Matrix) * T	ontainer Fill Tim Flow R	Initia) Evacuation Ref Pressure ate (mtorr)	Field Initial Sample Pressure (" Hg)	Field Final Sample Pressure (" Hg) VOCs TO15 SCAN	VOCs TO15 SCAN LL	VOCS TO15 SIM	slovanes 1015	Sullar 1015	30nu) 280 1015	APH TO15	Helium	Major Gases 3C	Comments	Final Pressure ("Hg)
5815N-IA8-031920	17649 3/vella	ITA Air	6L 8 H	10mtorr r 3/12/2020	-30.0	3/ 4/20	_	$\sim$				-				6
5815N-091-031920	12664 3/19/20 12664 1710	AA	6L 8 H	10mtorr 7 3/12/2020	-30.0	3/19/20	~									- 8
58154I-031920	17640 3/19/20 FVS 1651	ATA	6L 8 H	10mtorr 3/12/2020	21616	7.0		$\sim$				-				S
S&ISN-INFLUENT-OSKED	17240 FR8-33 1/0000	AUS	6L -8H	10mtorr 7 3/12/2020	3/4/10	-4.0	~ 2					-				30
	Can Marine	AD .	6L 8 H	10mtorr r 3/12/2020	- Pruganya	E attlaare Doze										
* Matrix Codes: AA = Ambient Air ** Container Codes: BV = 1 Liter Bott	IA = Indoor Air L = Lan Ie Vac 6L = 6L Canister 3	dfill S = Su L = 1L Canister	bslab / Soil Gas CYL = High Pr	essure Cylinder	F = Filter	S = Sorbent	Tube	TB	= Teo	ar B	36					Turn-Around Time:
I represent that I am authorized terms on the front and backside	d to enter into this Agreen e of this Agreement.	ient with Fre	mont Analyti	cal on behalf c	of the Client	named abo	we, th	it I h	ave	veri	fied	2	ent	's agree	ment to each of the	3 Day
* Typen Oftour	Date/Time F12017D	0	83	Received	NN	3		P	3	ne Z	0	-	N	9	241	2 Day
Relinquisted	Date/Time			Received				g	ate/Ti	ne						Same Day (specify)

		4600 Fre	mont due N			Air Cł	nain o	f Cust	od	< T	e	0	à	80	-	8	orat	orv Services	Agreement
- IG	CI	Seatth	e, WA 98103 06-352-3790	Date	3/19/	Ū.		Brazza.	_		-			apol	ator	Pro	ject No (In	ternall: 2003	352 of 13
	molynna	Fax: 2	06-352-7178	Project Na	me: Cc	pyted	landers	Lines.		7	+		1	Spec	ial R	Ema	rks ¥ C	hly Analyze for	following: 13
Client: Farallon	a na far far far far far far far far far fa			Project No:	2	157-0	ex .	And				Annound I		5	74	1	CF.	Cis 1,2'-00E,	trens 1,2000
Address: 975 St. Au	e NW			Location:	Sec	Ale.	tw	+	decision (1) (1)	(Including of the second se	- manual and a manual second sec			-	-T	2C	E.	und Viny C	hieride
city, state, zip: ISnyuch	FUCA.	-2086	4	Collected b	* N	yours	P	trank	- International State				1	6	Ŧ	- 0	13	Im. by cret	323
Telephone: 225-295-0	Sce ,			Reports to	(PM):	Ч	Marine	a and a street of the second				Total and the second se		ir sar	nple	sare	disposed	Tok to Disease	ubmitted to client unless
Fax: 125-295-0	SSS			Email (PM)	THUE	PEG ELL						-	the second			4		and the second se	Trinder fairs event about
					- And a lot	Internal	JH2-EL VES	VITACAL	101.CV	Lan			nalys	10					Internal
Sample Name	Canister / Flow Reg Sectial #	Sample Date & Time	Sample Type (Matrix) *	Container Type **	Fill Time / Flow Rate	initial Evacuation Pressure (mtorr)	Field Initial Sample Pressure ("Hg)	Field Fanal Sample Pressure (" Hg)	VOCs TO15 SCAN	VOCS TO15 SCAN LL	Silouseer TOTE	Sudue TOTE	Sulfar 1013	Solidi EXE 1915	APH TO15	Helium	Major Gases 3C	Comments	Final Pressure ('14g)
5815N-IA8-031920	17649 5781-1011 FR8:30	3119/20	IA.	6Ľ	8 Hr	10mtorr 3/12/2020	-30.0 3/14/2	3/ 19/20		-	$\sim$						1.0		-6
815N 0A1-031920	17238**	3/19/20	AR	6L	8 Hr	10mtorr 3/12/2020	-30.0	attratic or of		~							_		8-
581517 A7-031920	17640 FV-5 F1/24 (Tay)	3/19/20	TA	6L	8 Hr	10mtorr 3/12/2020	-20,0	31412		-									2
5815 N - INFLUENT- OBVIDO	177240 5094 3	3/19/20	AUS	6L	Cricib -8th	10mtorr 3/12/2020	31.9/20	-40		~~~									0 6-
	100 M	1000 -	the	61	8 Hr	10mtorr 3/12/2020	14 14 January 10 19	Provinces											
<ul> <li>Matrix Codes: AA = Ambient Air</li> <li>Container Codes: BV = 1 Liter Bott</li> </ul>	IA = Indoor A le Vac 61 = 61	lr L=Lan	dfill 5 = 5	Subslab / Soj	l Gas										-	-	-		Turn-Around Time:
I represent that I am authorize terms on the front and backside	d to enter into e of this Agree	this Agreen ment.	ent with Fr	emont An	alytical o	n behalf of	f the Clien	t named a	hove,	that	The	Ive	eri	fied	2	ent	's agree	ment to each of the	- Standard
reinquistret * Hypern Wettigues reinquistrei	Dai	e/Time HZOV/JD e/Time		at St		eceived	N	25	1		Dai	e/Tim	° O °	0		2	0	1475	2 Day Next Day
					×								1						Same Day (specify)



3600 Fremont Ave. N. Seattle, WA 98103 T: (206) 352-3790 F: (206) 352-7178 info@fremontanalytical.com

Farallon Consulting Jen Moore 975 5th Ave NW Issaquah, WA 98027

RE: Capital Industries Work Order Number: 2003353

March 30, 2020

#### Attention Jen Moore:

Fremont Analytical, Inc. received 4 sample(s) on 3/20/2020 for the analyses presented in the following report.

#### Volatile Organic Compounds-EPA Method TO-15 (SIM)

This report consists of the following:

- Case Narrative
- Analytical Results
- Applicable Quality Control Summary Reports
- Chain of Custody

All analyses were performed consistent with the Quality Assurance program of Fremont Analytical, Inc. Please contact the laboratory if you should have any questions about the results.

Thank you for using Fremont Analytical.

Sincerely,

Brianna Barnes Project Manager

DoD/ELAP Certification #L17-135, ISO/IEC 17025:2005 ORELAP Certification: WA 100009-007 (NELAP Recognized)



CLIENT: Project: Work Order:	Farallon Consulting Capital Industries 2003353	Work Order S	Sample Summary
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Date/Time Collected	Date/Time Received
2003353-001	5900-IA1-031920	03/19/2020 3:50 PM	03/20/2020 2:25 PM
2003353-002	5900-IA3-031920	03/19/2020 3:55 PM	03/20/2020 2:25 PM
2003353-003	5900-OA2-031920	03/19/2020 4:45 PM	03/20/2020 2:25 PM
2003353-004	5900-INFLUENT-031920	03/19/2020 10:55 AM	03/20/2020 2:25 PM



**Case Narrative** 

WO#: **2003353** Date: **3/30/2020** 

CLIENT:Farallon ConsultingProject:Capital Industries

I. SAMPLE RECEIPT:

Samples receipt information is recorded on the attached Sample Receipt Checklist.

II. GENERAL REPORTING COMMENTS: Air samples are reported in ppbv and ug/m3.

The validity of the analytical procedures for which data is reported in this analytical report is determined by the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) and the Method Blank (MB). The LCS and the MB are processed with the samples to ensure method criteria are achieved throughout the entire analytical process.

III. ANALYSES AND EXCEPTIONS:

Exceptions associated with this report will be footnoted in the analytical results page(s) or the quality control summary page(s) and/or noted below.

Standard temperature and pressure assumes 24.45 = (25C and 1 atm).

# **Qualifiers & Acronyms**



WO#: 2003353 Date Reported: 3/30/2020

### Qualifiers:

- \* Flagged value is not within established control limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- D Dilution was required
- E Value above quantitation range
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- I Analyte with an internal standard that does not meet established acceptance criteria
- J Analyte detected below Reporting Limit
- N Tentatively Identified Compound (TIC)
- Q Analyte with an initial or continuing calibration that does not meet established acceptance criteria
- (<20%RSD, <20% Drift or minimum RRF)
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- ND Not detected at the Reporting Limit
- R High relative percent difference observed

Acronyms:

%Rec - Percent Recovery **CCB** - Continued Calibration Blank CCV - Continued Calibration Verification **DF** - Dilution Factor HEM - Hexane Extractable Material **ICV** - Initial Calibration Verification LCS/LCSD - Laboratory Control Sample / Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate MB or MBLANK - Method Blank MDL - Method Detection Limit MS/MSD - Matrix Spike / Matrix Spike Duplicate PDS - Post Digestion Spike Ref Val - Reference Value **RL** - Reporting Limit **RPD** - Relative Percent Difference SD - Serial Dilution SGT - Silica Gel Treatment SPK - Spike Surr - Surrogate



Client: WorkOrder: Project:	Farallo 200335 Capital	n Consulting 3 Industries								
Client Sample	D:	5900-IA1-031920					Date Sa	mpled: 3/19/2	2020	
Lab ID:		2003353-001A					Date Re	ceived: 3/20/2	2020	
Sample Type:		Summa Canister								
Analyte			Concent	ration	Reportin	g Limit	Qual	Method	Date/Analys	st
Volatile Organ	nic Com	oounds-EPA Meth	od TO-15	<u>(SIM)</u>						
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)				
1,1-Dichloroethe	ene (DCE)	<	0.00900	<0.0357	0.00900	0.0357		EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD

cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.0200	<0.0793	0.0200	0.0793	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.0605	0.411	0.0500	0.339	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.00600	<0.0238	0.00600	0.0238	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.0397	0.213	0.0170	0.0914	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Vinyl chloride	<0.0850	<0.217	0.0850	0.217	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	95.2 %Rec		70-130		EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD



Client: WorkOrder: Project:	Farallo 200335 Capital	n Consulting 3 Industries								
Client Sample	D:	5900-IA3-031920					Date Sa	ampled: 3/19/2	2020	
Lab ID:		2003353-002A					Date Re	eceived: 3/20/2	2020	
Sample Type:		Summa Canister								
Analyte			Concent	ration	Reportir	ng Limit	Qual	Method	Date/Analy	st
Volatile Organ	nic Comp	oounds-EPA Meth	od TO-15	<u>(SIM)</u>						
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)				
1,1-Dichloroethe	ne (DCE)	<	0.00900	<0.0357	0.00900	0.0357		EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD

.,. =				0.0001			
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.0200	<0.0793	0.0200	0.0793	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.108	0.734	0.0500	0.339	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.00677	0.0268	0.00600	0.0238	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.0328	0.176	0.0170	0.0914	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Vinyl chloride	<0.0850	<0.217	0.0850	0.217	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	107 %Rec		70-130		EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD



Client: WorkOrder: Project:	Farallo 200335 Capital	n Consulting 53 Industries								
Client Sample	D:	5900-OA2-03192	0				Date Sa	ampled: 3/19/2	2020	
Lab ID:		2003353-003A					Date Re	eceived: 3/20/2	2020	
Sample Type:		Summa Canister								
Analyte			Concer	tration	Reportir	ng Limit	Qual	Method	Date/Analy	st
Volatile Orgar	nic Com	oounds-EPA Meth	nod TO-1	5 <u>(SIM)</u>						
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)				
1,1-Dichloroethe	ne (DCE)		:0.00900	<0.0357	0.00900	0.0357		EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD

.,. =				0.0001			
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.0200	<0.0793	0.0200	0.0793	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	1.30	8.83	0.0500	0.339	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.00600	<0.0238	0.00600	0.0238	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Trichloroethene (TCE)	<0.0170	<0.0914	0.0170	0.0914	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Vinyl chloride	<0.0850	<0.217	0.0850	0.217	EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	97.3 %Rec		70-130		EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD



Client: WorkOrder: Project:	Farallo 200338 Capital	on Consulting 53 Industries								
Client Sample	ID:	5900-INFLUEN	T-031920				Date Sa	mpled: 3/19/2	2020	
Lab ID:		2003353-004A					Date Re	ceived: 3/20/2	2020	
Sample Type:		Summa Caniste	er							
Analyte			Concen	tration	Reportir	ng Limit	Qual	Method	Date/Analy	rst
Volatile Organ	nic Com	pounds-EPA Me	thod TO-15	5 (SIM)						
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)				
1,1-Dichloroethe	ne (DCE)		<0.00900	<0.0357	0.00900	0.0357		EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD
cis-1.2-Dichloroe	thene		0.0446	0.177	0.0200	0 0793		EPA-TO-15SIM	03/28/2020	AD

cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.0446	0.177	0.0200	0.0793	EPA-TO-15SIM 03/28/2020	AD
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.0879	0.596	0.0500	0.339	EPA-TO-15SIM 03/28/2020	AD
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.00600	<0.0238	0.00600	0.0238	EPA-TO-15SIM 03/28/2020	AD
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.0977	0.525	0.0170	0.0914	EPA-TO-15SIM 03/28/2020	AD
Vinyl chloride	<0.0850	<0.217	0.0850	0.217	EPA-TO-15SIM 03/28/2020	AD
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	108 %Rec		70-130		EPA-TO-15SIM 03/28/2020	AD

Fremont
Analytical

Work Order:2003353CLIENT:Farallon Co	onsulting				v	/olatile 0	QC Organic Compoun	SUMMAR	RY REF	
Project: Capital Indu				Lipito, maku	-	Dran Da	to: 2/27/2020	DupNet 502		
				Units. <b>ppbv</b>			ille: 3/2//2020	Runino. 583	/13	
Client ID: LCSW	Batch ID: <b>R58313</b>					Analysis Da	ite: 3/27/2020	SeqNo: 116	5312	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit RPD Ref Va	al %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Vinyl chloride	2.18	0.0850	2.000	0	109	70	130			
1,1-Dichloroethene (DCE)	1.77	0.00900	2.000	0	88.3	70	130			
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.86	0.00600	2.000	0	92.8	70	130			
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.65	0.0200	2.000	0	82.6	70	130			
Trichloroethene (TCE)	1.76	0.0170	2.000	0	88.0	70	130			
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	1.90	0.0500	2.000	0	95.0	70	130			
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	4.15		4.000		104	70	130			
Sample ID: MB-R58313	SampType: MBLK			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	te: 3/27/2020	RunNo: 583	313	
Client ID: MBLKW	Batch ID: R58313					Analysis Da	ite: 3/27/2020	SeqNo: 116	5313	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit RPD Ref Va	al %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.0850								
1,1-Dichloroethene (DCE)	ND	0.00900								
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.00600								
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.0200								
Trichloroethene (TCE)	ND	0.0170								
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	ND	0.0500								
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	3.46		4.000		86.4	70	130			
Sample ID: 2003352-001AREP	SampType: <b>REP</b>			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	te: 3/27/2020	RunNo: 583	313	
Client ID: BATCH	Batch ID: R58313					Analysis Da	ite: 3/27/2020	SeqNo: 116	5317	
Analyte	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit RPD Ref Va	al %RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.0850						0	30	
1,1-Dichloroethene (DCE)	ND	0.00900						0	30	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.00600						0	30	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ND	0.0200						0	30	
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.272	0.0170					0.266	2 2.23	30	
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.0652	0.0500					0.0882	3 30.0	30	

#### Original





Work Order:	2003353									00.5	SUMMAF		ORT
CLIENT:	Farallon Co	nsulting					_						
Project:	Capital Indu	stries					V	olatile O	rganic (	Compounds	S-EPA Meth	nod TO-1	5 (SIM)
Sample ID: 20033	52-001AREP	SampType	: REP			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	te: <b>3/27/20</b>	)20	RunNo: 583	13	
Client ID: BATC	н	Batch ID:	R58313					Analysis Da	te: 3/27/20	)20	SeqNo: 116	5317	
Analyte		I	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	uorobenzene		3.90		4.000		97.6	70	130		0		



## Sample Log-In Check List

Client Name: FARA	Work Order Numb	ber: 2003353	
Logged by: Carissa True	Date Received:	3/20/2020	2:25:00 PM
Chain of Custody			
1. Is Chain of Custody complete?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	Not Present
2. How was the sample delivered?	<u>Courier</u>		
Log In			
3 Coolers are present?	Yes	No 🔽	
<b>0</b> . ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Air samples		
4. Shipping container/cooler in good condition?	Yes 🔽	No 🗌	
<ol> <li>Custody Seals present on shipping container/cooler? (Refer to comments for Custody Seals not intact)</li> </ol>	Yes	No 🗹	Not Required
6. Was an attempt made to cool the samples?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹
7. Were all items received at a temperature of >2°C to 6°C *	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹
8. Sample(s) in proper container(s)?	Yes 🔽	No 🗌	
9. Sufficient sample volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
10. Are samples properly preserved?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
11. Was preservative added to bottles?	Yes	No 🖌	NA 🗌
12. Is there headspace in the VOA vials?	Yes	No 🗌	
13. Did all samples containers arrive in good condition(unbroken)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
14. Does paperwork match bottle labels?	Yes 🖌	No	
15. Are matrices correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
16. Is it clear what analyses were requested?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
17. Were all holding times able to be met?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
Special Handling (if applicable)			
18. Was client notified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹
Person Notified: Date			
By Whom: Via:	eMail Pho	one 🗌 Fax 📋	In Person
Regarding:			
Client Instructions:			

#### Item Information

<sup>\*</sup> Note: DoD/ELAP and TNI require items to be received at 4°C +/- 2°C

(VIANARY)						Air Ch	ain of	Cust	ody	Re	8	à	20	La	8	Tal	#	ory Services	Agreement
Frem	ION	Seattle Tel: 2	mont Ave N. , WA 98103 06-352-3790	Date:	3/19/	3		Paget	of:	~		-	abore	tory	rojec	t No	finte	ernal): 2 00 3	383 (3)
	nalytic	TA Fax: 2	06-352-7178	Project N	ame: Cel	14 (	Inde	stric	<b>J</b> <sup>3</sup>				Speci	al Rer	narks	*	0	hly Analyze for	the Dawing
Hent: Farallon				Project N	e 45	7-0	×.					_		1,2	ñ	ri,r	0 0	and Vinul Mi	ans 1,2-1XEYe
adress: 975 5th Ave	ZE			Location:	Seal	Ale, (	UA								1	1		1.1.1.	S D R
ity, State, Zip: Fabriqua	5 E	086 t	27	Collected	HI N	en E	Sitra												
elephone: 425-295-0	10084			Reports t	o (PM):	Jen 1	Noon	0				0.0	ir sar therv	nples lise n	are d	ispo	sed	of one week after report is sub	fold (fees may apply)
-2425-245-	0250			Email (PN	N: JMOC	AFP FAR	Howe	USULTINK	A.CO.W			n							
						Internal			-			Analy		-1	-				Internal
Sample Name	Canister / Flow Reg Serial #	Sample Date & Time	Sample Type (Matrix) *	Container Type **	Fill Time / Flow Rate	Initial Evacuation Pressure (mtorr)	Field Initial Sample Pressure ("Hg)	Field Final Sample Pressure ("Hg)	VOCS TO15 SCAN	VOCS TO15 SIM	Siloxanes TO15	Sulfur TO15	Sulfur Ext. TQ15	APH TO15	Helium	Major Gases 3C		Comments	Final Pressure ("Hg)
5900-IA1-031920	15421 Contraction FR8-28	3/19/20	AN	6L	8 Hr	10mtorr 3/12/`:020	-30i0	-5.0 3/19/20		$\geq$									8-
9900-IA3 031920	12603- a	555	Aur Aur	6L	8 Hr	10mtorr 3/12/2020	-2010	3/19/20		$\searrow$									-1-0
5900-072-031920	17244	3/19/20	AA	9L	S Hr	10mtorr 3/12/2020	2/19/12	21420		$\geq$		-	-			1	1		10
SACC-INFLUENT-031920	34751 F-32 F1-10 <sup>12</sup> 050	3/19/20 1055	S	6L	Grab	10mtorr 3/3/2020	31.9/20	-4.0 3/19/122		$\sim$									-2
	ASSIGN Chester Film Fred	S LET L	M	2 6L	Grab	10mtort 3/3/2020	Prossare	they a				_	1			-			
<ul> <li>Matrix Codes: AA = Ambient Air</li> <li>Container Codes: BV = 1 Liter Bot</li> </ul>	IA = Indoo tle Vac 6L =	r Air L = La - 6L Canister	ındfill S = 1L = 1L Canist	Subslab / er CYL	Soil Gas = High Press	ure Cylinder	F = Filter	S = Sort	pent Tube		8 = T	ediar	Bag						Turn-Around Time:
I represent that I am authorize terms on the front and backsic	ed to enter in le of this Agr	to this Agree reement.	ment with H	remont	Analytical	on behalf o	f the Clie	nt named	above,	that	hav	eve	rifie	dC	ient	's a	gre	eement to each of the	3 Day
anguisted Colora	Č .	Date/Time 3/2	0210	0	06.8	Received * \//\/	N.	N	Ć		Date	Time	0	7	0			510	2 Day
reingdished		Date/Time				Received *					Date	Time							Same Day (specify)

50CAV 14 412.18

Fire1.017



3600 Fremont Ave. N. Seattle, WA 98103 T: (206) 352-3790 F: (206) 352-7178 info@fremontanalytical.com

Landau Associates Jennifer Wynkoop 130 2nd Ave South Edmonds, WA 98020

RE: Capital Industries Work Order Number: 2009385

September 30, 2020

#### **Attention Jennifer Wynkoop:**

Fremont Analytical, Inc. received 9 sample(s) on 9/23/2020 for the analyses presented in the following report.

#### Volatile Organic Compounds-EPA Method TO-15 (SIM)

This report consists of the following:

- Case Narrative
- Analytical Results
- Applicable Quality Control Summary Reports
- Chain of Custody

All analyses were performed consistent with the Quality Assurance program of Fremont Analytical, Inc. Please contact the laboratory if you should have any questions about the results.

Thank you for using Fremont Analytical.

Sincerely,

Brianna Barnes Project Manager

**CC:** Dave Johnson

DoD-ELAP Accreditation #79636 by PJLA, ISO/IEC 17025:2017 and QSM 5.3 for Environmental Testing ORELAP Certification: WA 100009 (NELAP Recognized) for Environmental Testing Washington State Department of Ecology Accredited for Environmental Testing, Lab ID C910



CLIENT: Project: Work Order:	Landau Associates Capital Industries 2009385	Work Order S	Sample Summary
Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Date/Time Collected	Date/Time Received
2009385-001	5815N-IA1-20200923	09/23/2020 8:30 AM	09/23/2020 4:54 PM
2009385-002	5815N-IA9-20200923	09/23/2020 8:35 AM	09/23/2020 4:54 PM
2009385-003	5815N-IA8-20200923	09/23/2020 8:37 AM	09/23/2020 4:54 PM
2009385-004	5815N-OA1-20200923	09/23/2020 8:42 AM	09/23/2020 4:54 PM
2009385-005	5815N-Influent-20200923	09/23/2020 9:44 AM	09/23/2020 4:54 PM
2009385-006	5900-IA3-20200923	09/23/2020 7:59 AM	09/23/2020 4:54 PM
2009385-007	5900-IA1-20200923	09/23/2020 8:08 AM	09/23/2020 4:54 PM
2009385-008	5900-OA2-20200923	09/23/2020 8:13 AM	09/23/2020 4:54 PM
2009385-009	5900-Influent-20200923	09/23/2020 10:29 AM	09/23/2020 4:54 PM



**Case Narrative** 

WO#: **2009385** Date: **9/30/2020** 

CLIENT:Landau AssociatesProject:Capital Industries

I. SAMPLE RECEIPT:

Samples receipt information is recorded on the attached Sample Receipt Checklist.

II. GENERAL REPORTING COMMENTS: Air samples are reported in ppbv and ug/m3.

The validity of the analytical procedures for which data is reported in this analytical report is determined by the Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) and the Method Blank (MB). The LCS and the MB are processed with the samples to ensure method criteria are achieved throughout the entire analytical process.

III. ANALYSES AND EXCEPTIONS:

Exceptions associated with this report will be footnoted in the analytical results page(s) or the quality control summary page(s) and/or noted below.

Standard temperature and pressure assumes 24.45 = (25C and 1 atm).

# **Qualifiers & Acronyms**



WO#: **2009385** Date Reported: **9/30/2020** 

### Qualifiers:

- \* Flagged value is not within established control limits
- B Analyte detected in the associated Method Blank
- D Dilution was required
- E Value above quantitation range
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- I Analyte with an internal standard that does not meet established acceptance criteria
- J Analyte detected below Reporting Limit
- N Tentatively Identified Compound (TIC)
- Q Analyte with an initial or continuing calibration that does not meet established acceptance criteria
- (<20%RSD, <20% Drift or minimum RRF)
- S Spike recovery outside accepted recovery limits
- ND Not detected at the Reporting Limit
- R High relative percent difference observed

Acronyms:

%Rec - Percent Recovery **CCB** - Continued Calibration Blank **CCV** - Continued Calibration Verification **DF** - Dilution Factor **DUP - Sample Duplicate** HEM - Hexane Extractable Material ICV - Initial Calibration Verification LCS/LCSD - Laboratory Control Sample / Laboratory Control Sample Duplicate MB or MBLANK - Method Blank MDL - Method Detection Limit MS/MSD - Matrix Spike / Matrix Spike Duplicate PDS - Post Digestion Spike Ref Val - Reference Value **REP - Sample Replicate RL** - Reporting Limit **RPD** - Relative Percent Difference **SD** - Serial Dilution SGT - Silica Gel Treatment SPK - Spike Surr - Surrogate



Client:	Landau	u Associates								
WorkOrder:	200938	5								
Project:	Capital	Industries								
Client Sample	D:	5815N-IA1-2020	0923				Date Sa	mpled: 9/23/2	2020	
Lab ID:		2009385-001A					Date Re	ceived: 9/23/2	2020	
Sample Type:		Summa Canister								
Analyte			Concent	ration	Reportin	g Limit	Qual	Method	Date/Analy	st
Volatile Organ	nic Comp	ounds-EPA Met	hod TO-15	<u>(SIM)</u>						
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)				
1,1-Dichloroethe	ne (DCE)		<0.00900	<0.0357	0.00900	0.0357		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS
cis-1,2-Dichloroe	ethene		<0.0200	<0.0793	0.0200	0.0793		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS
Tetrachloroether	ne (PCE)		0.0752	0.510	0.0500	0.339		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS
trans-1,2-Dichlor	oethene		<0.00600	<0.0238	0.00600	0.0238		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS
Trichloroethene	(TCE)		0.305	1.64	0.0170	0.0914		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS

0.0850

70-130

0.217

--

<0.0850

98.8 %Rec

<0.217

--

Vinyl chloride

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

EPA-TO-15SIM 09/26/2020

EPA-TO-15SIM 09/26/2020

MS

MS


Client:	Landau	u Associates								
WorkOrder:	200938	5								
Project:	Capital	Industries								
Client Sample	e ID:	5815N-IA9-2020	0923				Date Sa	mpled: 9/23/2	2020	
Lab ID:		2009385-002A					Date Re	ceived: 9/23/2	2020	
Sample Type:	:	Summa Canister	r							
Analyte		Concentration		Reporting Limit		Qual	Method	Date/Analy	st	
Volatile Orgai	nic Com	oounds-EPA Met	hod TO-15	5 (SIM)						
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)				
1,1-Dichloroethe	ene (DCE)		<0.00900	<0.0357	0.00900	0.0357		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS
cis-1,2-Dichloroe	ethene		<0.0200	<0.0793	0.0200	0.0793		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS
Tetrachloroethe	ne (PCE)		<0.0500	< 0.339	0.0500	0 339		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS
					0.0000	0.000				
trans-1,2-Dichlo	roethene		<0.00600	<0.0238	0.00600	0.0238		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS

70-130

0.217

--

<0.0850

98.8 %Rec

<0.217

---

Vinyl chloride

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

EPA-TO-15SIM 09/26/2020

EPA-TO-15SIM 09/26/2020

MS



Client:	Landa	u Associates								
WorkOrder:	200938	5								
Project:	Capital	Industries								
Client Sample	e ID:	5815N-IA8-2020	0923				Date Sa	mpled: 9/23/2	2020	
Lab ID:		2009385-003A					Date Ree	ceived: 9/23/2	020	
Sample Type:		Summa Canister	r							
Analyte	e Concentration			ration	Reportin	g Limit	Qual	Method	Date/Analy	st
Volatile Organ	nic Com	oounds-EPA Met	hod TO-15	<u>(SIM)</u>						
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)				
1,1-Dichloroethe			0 00000	0.0057	0.00000					
			<0.00900	<0.0357	0.00900	0.0357		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS
cis-1,2-Dichloroe	ethene		<0.00900 <0.0200	<0.0357 <0.0793	0.00900	0.0357 0.0793		EPA-TO-15SIM EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020 09/26/2020	MS MS
cis-1,2-Dichloroe Tetrachloroether	ethene ne (PCE)		<0.00900 <0.0200 <0.0500	<0.0357 <0.0793 <0.339	0.00900 0.0200 0.0500	0.0357 0.0793 0.339		EPA-TO-15SIM EPA-TO-15SIM EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020 09/26/2020 09/26/2020	MS MS MS
cis-1,2-Dichloroe Tetrachloroether trans-1,2-Dichlor	ethene ne (PCE) roethene		<0.00900 <0.0200 <0.0500 <0.00600	<0.0357 <0.0793 <0.339 <0.0238	0.00900 0.0200 0.0500 0.00600	0.0357 0.0793 0.339 0.0238		EPA-TO-15SIM EPA-TO-15SIM EPA-TO-15SIM EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020 09/26/2020 09/26/2020 09/26/2020	MS MS MS MS

70-130

0.217

--

<0.0850

96.0 %Rec

<0.217

---

Vinyl chloride

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

EPA-TO-15SIM 09/26/2020

EPA-TO-15SIM 09/26/2020

MS



Vinyl chloride

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Client:LandWorkOrder:2009Project:Capit	<b>au Associates</b> 385 al Industries								
Client Sample ID:	5815N-OA1-2020	0923				Date Sa	mpled: 9/23/2	2020	
Lab ID:	2009385-004A					Date Re	ceived: 9/23/2	2020	
Sample Type:	Summa Canister								
Analyte	Concentration		Reporting Limit		Qual	Qual Method		st	
Volatile Organic Co	mpounds-EPA Meth	od TO-15	<u>i (SIM)</u>						
	(	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)				
1,1-Dichloroethene (DC	E) <	0.00900	<0.0357	0.00900	0.0357		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	<	:0.0200	<0.0793	0.0200	0.0793		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS
Tetrachloroethene (PCE	E) <	0.0500	<0.339	0.0500	0.339		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS
trans-1,2-Dichloroethen	e <	0.00600	<0.0238	0.00600	0.0238		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS
Trichloroethene (TCE)	<	0.0170	<0.0914	0.0170	0.0914		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS
Vinyl chloride	<	0.0850	<0.217	0.0850	0.217		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/26/2020	MS

70-130

--

93.2 %Rec

---

MS

EPA-TO-15SIM 09/26/2020



Client: WorkOrder: Project:	<b>Landau</b> 200938 Capital	u <b>Associates</b> 5 Industries					
Client Sample Lab ID:	e ID:	5815N-Influent-20 2009385-005A	200923		Date Sar Date Rec	npled: 9/23 ceived: 9/23	/2020 /2020
Sample Type:	:	Summa Canister					
Analyte			Concentration	Reporting Limit	Qual	Method	Date/Analyst
Volatile Organ	nic Comp	oounds-EPA Meth	od TO-15 (SIM)				

	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)			
1,1-Dichloroethene (DCE)	<0.00900	<0.0357	0.00900	0.0357	EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	1.40	5.57	0.0200	0.0793	EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	13.9	94.6	0.500	3.39	EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	0.0545	0.216	0.00600	0.0238	EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
Trichloroethene (TCE)	31.2	168	0.170	0.914	EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
Vinyl chloride	<0.0850	<0.217	0.0850	0.217	EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	103 %Rec		70-130		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS



Client: WorkOrder:	<b>Landa</b> 200938	u Associates								
Project:	Capital	Industries								
Client Sample	D:	5900-IA3-202009	923				Date Sar	mpled: 9/23/2	2020	
Lab ID:		2009385-006A					Date Ree	ceived: 9/23/2	2020	
Sample Type:		Summa Canister								
Analyte		Concentration		Reporting Limit		Qual	Method	Date/Analy	st	
Volatile Orgai	nic Com	oounds-EPA Met	hod TO-15	<u>(SIM)</u>						
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)				
1,1-Dichloroethe	ene (DCE)		<0.00900	<0.0357	0.00900	0.0357		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
cis-1,2-Dichloroe	ethene		<0.0200	<0.0793	0.0200	0.0793		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
Tetrachloroethe	ne (PCE)		<0.0500	<0.339	0.0500	0.339		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
trans-1,2-Dichlo	roethene		<0.00600	<0.0238	0.00600	0.0238		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
Trichloroethene	(TCE)		<0.0170	<0.0914	0.0170	0.0914		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS

70-130

0.217

---

< 0.0850

97.0 %Rec

<0.217

---

Vinyl chloride

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

EPA-TO-15SIM 09/28/2020

EPA-TO-15SIM 09/28/2020

MS



Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

Client: Land	au Associates								
workOrder: 20093	000								
Project: Capita	al Industries								
Client Sample ID:	5900-IA1-2020092	3				Date Sar	npled: 9/23/2	020	
Lab ID:	2009385-007A					Date Red	ceived: 9/23/2	020	
Sample Type:	Summa Canister								
Analyte		Concentration		Reporting Limit		Qual	Method	Date/Analyst	
Volatile Organic Cor	npounds-EPA Metho	od TO-15	<u>(SIM)</u>						
	(	ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)				
1,1-Dichloroethene (DCI	E) <0	0.00900	<0.0357	0.00900	0.0357		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	<	0.0200	<0.0793	0.0200	0.0793		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
Tetrachloroethene (PCE	) <(	0.0500	<0.339	0.0500	0.339		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	e <0	0.00600	<0.0238	0.00600	0.0238		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
Trichloroethene (TCE)	<	0.0170	<0.0914	0.0170	0.0914		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
Vinyl chloride	<	0.0850	<0.217	0.0850	0.217		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS

70-130

--

93.5 %Rec

--

MS

EPA-TO-15SIM 09/28/2020



Client:	Landau	I Associates								
WorkOrder:	200938	5								
Project:	Capital	Industries								
Client Sample	D:	5900-OA2-2020	0923				Date Sar	<b>npled:</b> 9/23/2	2020	
Lab ID:		2009385-008A					Date Rec	ceived: 9/23/2	2020	
Sample Type:		Summa Canister	r							
Analyte	e Concentration			ration	Reportin	g Limit	Qual	Method	Date/Analy	st
Volatile Organ	nic Com		bod TO 15	(SIM)						
		JOUITUS-EFA IVIEL	100 10-15							
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)				
1,1-Dichloroethe	ene (DCE)		(ppbv) <0.00900	(ug/m³) <0.0357	<b>(ppbv)</b> 0.00900	<b>(ug/m³)</b> 0.0357		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
1,1-Dichloroethe	ene (DCE)	Jounds-LFA Mei	(ppbv) <0.00900 <0.0200	(ug/m³) <0.0357 <0.0793	<b>(ppbv)</b> 0.00900 0.0200	<b>(ug/m³)</b> 0.0357 0.0793		EPA-TO-15SIM EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020 09/28/2020	MS MS
1,1-Dichloroethe cis-1,2-Dichloroe Tetrachloroethe	ene (DCE) ethene ne (PCE)	Jounus-LFA Mei	(ppbv) <0.00900 <0.0200 0.509	(ug/m <sup>3</sup> ) <0.0357 <0.0793 3.45	( <b>ppbv)</b> 0.00900 0.0200 0.0500	<b>(ug/m³)</b> 0.0357 0.0793 0.339		EPA-TO-15SIM EPA-TO-15SIM EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020 09/28/2020 09/28/2020	MS MS MS
1,1-Dichloroethe cis-1,2-Dichloroe Tetrachloroethe trans-1,2-Dichlo	ene (DCE) ethene ne (PCE) roethene		(ppbv) <0.00900 <0.0200 0.509 <0.00600	(ug/m <sup>3</sup> ) <0.0357 <0.0793 3.45 <0.0238	(ppbv) 0.00900 0.0200 0.0500 0.00600	(ug/m <sup>3</sup> ) 0.0357 0.0793 0.339 0.0238		EPA-TO-15SIM EPA-TO-15SIM EPA-TO-15SIM EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020 09/28/2020 09/28/2020 09/28/2020	MS MS MS MS

70-130

0.217

--

<0.0850

93.9 %Rec

<0.217

--

Vinyl chloride

Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene

EPA-TO-15SIM 09/28/2020

EPA-TO-15SIM 09/28/2020

MS



Client: WorkOrder: Project:	Landar 200938 Capital	u <b>Associates</b> 5 Industries								
Client Sample	D:	5900-Influent-20	200923				Date Sa	mpled: 9/23/2	2020	
Lab ID:		2009385-009A					Date Re	ceived: 9/23/2	2020	
Sample Type: Summa Canis		Summa Canister	r							
Analyte			Concent	ration	Reportin	g Limit	Qual	Method	Date/Analy	st
Volatile Organ	nic Com	oounds-EPA Met	hod TO-15	<u>(SIM)</u>						
			(ppbv)	(ug/m³)	(ppbv)	(ug/m³)				
1,1-Dichloroethe	ene (DCE)		<0.00900	<0.0357	0.00900	0.0357		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		<0.0200	<0.0793	0.0200	0.0793		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS	

cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.0200	<0.0793	0.0200	0.0793	EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	0.208	1.41	0.0500	0.339	EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.00600	<0.0238	0.00600	0.0238	EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
Trichloroethene (TCE)	0.0952	0.511	0.0170	0.0914	EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
Vinyl chloride	<0.0850	<0.217	0.0850	0.217	EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS
Surr: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	101 %Rec		70-130		EPA-TO-15SIM	09/28/2020	MS

Fremont
Analyticar

Work Order: 20	09385									2.00	SUMMAI	RY RFF	PORT
CLIENT: La	andau Associa	ites							· •				
Project: Ca	apital Industrie	es					V	olatile Ol	rganic C	ompounds	-EPA Metr	iod 10-1:	5 (SIM)
Sample ID: LCS-R621	30 S	ampType	LCS			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	ite: 9/26/20	020	RunNo: 62	130	
Client ID: LCSW	В	Batch ID:	R62130					Analysis Da	ite: 9/26/20	020	SeqNo: 124	46345	
Analyte		F	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Vinyl chloride			1.87	0.0850	2.000	0	93.5	70	130				
1,1-Dichloroethene (DC	CE)		1.92	0.00900	2.000	0	95.9	70	130				
trans-1,2-Dichloroether	ne		2.00	0.00600	2.000	0	100	70	130				
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene			2.00	0.0200	2.000	0	100	70	130				
Trichloroethene (TCE)			1.96	0.0170	2.000	0	98.1	70	130				
Tetrachloroethene (PC	E)		1.96	0.0500	2.000	0	98.1	70	130				
Surr: 4-Bromofluorob	penzene		4.02		4.000		101	70	130				
Sample ID: MB-R6213	<b>0</b> S	ampType	MBLK			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	ite: 9/26/20	020	RunNo: 62	130	
Client ID: MBLKW	В	atch ID:	R62130					Analysis Da	ite: 9/26/20	020	SeqNo: 124	46346	
Analyte		F	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Vinyl chloride			ND	0.0850									
1,1-Dichloroethene (DC	CE)		ND	0.00900									
trans-1,2-Dichloroether	ne		ND	0.00600									
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene			ND	0.0200									
Trichloroethene (TCE)			ND	0.0170									
Tetrachloroethene (PC	E)		ND	0.0500									
Surr: 4-Bromofluorok	penzene		3.69		4.000		92.3	70	130				
Sample ID: 2009274-0	01AREP S	ampType	: REP			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	ite: 9/26/20	020	RunNo: 62	130	
Client ID: BATCH	В	Batch ID:	R62130					Analysis Da	ite: 9/26/20	020	SeqNo: 124	46350	
Analyte		F	Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Vinyl chloride			ND	0.0850						0		25	
1,1-Dichloroethene (DC	CE)		ND	0.00900						0		25	
trans-1,2-Dichloroether	ne		0.679	0.00600						0.6814	0.370	25	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene			3.15	0.0200						3.140	0.329	25	
Trichloroethene (TCE)			ND	0.0170						0		25	



Work Order:	2009385											
CLIENT:	Landau Asso	ociates										
Project:	Capital Indus	stries				Ve	olatile Or	rganic Co	mpounds-	-EPA Meth	od TO-1	5 (SIM)
Sample ID: 20092	74-001AREP	SampType: <b>REP</b>			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	te: 9/26/2020	0	RunNo: 621	30	
Client ID: BATC	н	Batch ID: R62130	)				Analysis Da	te: 9/26/2020	0	SeqNo: 124	6350	
Analyte		Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit F	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Tetrachloroethene	(PCE)	0.305	0.0500						0.3142	2.85	25	
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	uorobenzene	4.21		4.000		105	70	130		0		
Sample ID: LCS-R	862218	SampType: LCS			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	te: 9/28/2020	0	RunNo: 622	:18	
Client ID: LCSW	1	Batch ID: R62218	3				Analysis Da	te: 9/28/2020	0	SeqNo: 124	8180	
Analyte		Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit F	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Vinyl chloride		1.90	0.0850	2.000	0	95.2	70	130				
1,1-Dichloroethene	e (DCE)	1.92	0.00900	2.000	0	96.2	70	130				
trans-1,2-Dichloroe	ethene	1.97	0.00600	2.000	0	98.3	70	130				
cis-1,2-Dichloroeth	iene	1.97	0.0200	2.000	0	98.3	70	130				
Trichloroethene (T	CE)	1.94	0.0170	2.000	0	96.9	70	130				
Tetrachloroethene	(PCE)	1.94	0.0500	2.000	0	97.0	70	130				
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	uorobenzene	3.97		4.000		99.3	70	130				
Sample ID: MB-R6	62218	SampType: MBLK			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Da	te: 9/28/2020	0	RunNo: 622	:18	
Client ID: MBLK	W	Batch ID: R62218	3				Analysis Da	te: 9/28/2020	0	SeqNo: 124	8181	
Analyte		Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit F	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Vinyl chloride		ND	0.0850									
1,1-Dichloroethene	e (DCE)	ND	0.00900									
trans-1,2-Dichloroe	ethene	ND	0.00600									
cis-1,2-Dichloroeth	iene	ND	0.0200									
Trichloroethene (T	CE)	ND	0.0170									
Tetrachloroethene	(PCE)	ND	0.0500									
Surr: 4-Bromoflu	uorobenzene	3.73		4.000		93.3	70	130				



Work Order:	2009385											
CLIENT:	Landau Asso	ociates										
Project:	Capital Indus	stries				Vo	platile Or	ganic C	ompounds	-EPA Meth	od TO-1	5 (SIM)
Sample ID: 20093	85-005AREP	SampType: REP			Units: <b>ppbv</b>		Prep Dat	te: 9/28/20	20	RunNo: 622	218	
Client ID: 5815N	I-Influent-202009	2 Batch ID: R62218					Analysis Da	te: 9/28/20	20	SeqNo: 124	18185	
Analyte		Result	RL	SPK value	SPK Ref Val	%REC	LowLimit	HighLimit	RPD Ref Val	%RPD	RPDLimit	Qual
Vinyl chloride		ND	0.0850						0		25	
1,1-Dichloroethene	e (DCE)	ND	0.00900						0		25	
trans-1,2-Dichloroe	ethene	0.0543	0.00600						0.05448	0.294	25	
cis-1,2-Dichloroeth	nene	1.38	0.0200						1.405	2.01	25	
Trichloroethene (T	CE)	43.1	0.0170						45.14	4.72	25	Е
Tetrachloroethene	(PCE)	18.5	0.0500						20.76	11.4	25	
Surr: 4-Bromofle	uorobenzene	3.95		4.000		98.8	70	130		0		
NOTES:												

E - Estimated value. The amount exceeds the linear working range of the instrument.



## Sample Log-In Check List

C	lient Name:	LA	Work Order Numb	ber: 2009385	
L	ogged by:	Carissa True	Date Received:	9/23/2020	) 4:54:00 PM
<u>Cha</u>	nin of Cust	ody			
1.	Is Chain of C	ustody complete?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	Not Present
2.	How was the	sample delivered?	<u>Client</u>		
Log	<u>. In</u>				
3.	Coolers are p	present?	Yes	No 🗸	NA 🗌
			Air samples		
4.	Shipping con	tainer/cooler in good condition?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
5.	Custody Seal (Refer to com	ls present on shipping container/cooler? nments for Custody Seals not intact)	Yes	No 🗌	Not Present 🗹
6.	Was an atten	npt made to cool the samples?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹
7.	Were all item	s received at a temperature of >2°C to 6°C *	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🔽
8.	Sample(s) in	proper container(s)?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
9.	Sufficient sar	nple volume for indicated test(s)?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
10	Are samples	properly preserved?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
11	Was preserva	ative added to bottles?	Yes	No 🗹	NA 🗌
12	Is there head	space in the VOA vials?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹
13	Did all sampl	es containers arrive in good condition(unbroken)?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
14	Does paperw	ork match bottle labels?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
15	Are matrices	correctly identified on Chain of Custody?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
16	Is it clear what	at analyses were requested?	Yes 🖌	No 🗌	
17	Were all hold	ling times able to be met?	Yes 🗹	No 🗌	
Spe	cial Handl	ing (if applicable)			
18	Was client no	tified of all discrepancies with this order?	Yes	No 🗌	NA 🗹
	Person	Notified: Date:			
	By Who	m: Via:	eMail Ph	one 🗌 Fax	In Person
	Regardi	ng:			
	Client Ir	nstructions:			

**19.** Additional remarks:

## Item Information

<sup>\*</sup> Note: DoD/ELAP and TNI require items to be received at 4°C +/- 2°C

he manifest (2000-bits)	** Container Lodes BV = 11/ter Bo 1 represent that I am authorized to each of the terms on the Front	58 ISN - INFLUENT- 20200923	5815N-0A1-20200723	5815N-IAS-2620923	5815N-IA9-2020923	5815N-IAI-2020925	Sample Norme	client: LUMDAUL ASS Adress: 2167 J CS City, State, Zip: Taulomou relephone: 253-284
Jes	dh = indoor $dh = V_{H} + C_{L} =$ to enter into ( and hackside	4694	10867 FUS-21	17736	13973 HKS-20	17635 FNS-18	Conetter / Flow any Senal II	no cietes t. -4870
1bur	Ar Se et Canister his Agree of this Ag	ATIR	ARA	TA	AND	TA	Sample Type (Marph] *	18402
Cavian	u = 1) : u = 1) : reent with	12	61	61	61	61	Contailer Tipe **	3620 Frei Seattle Tel: 2 Fax: 2
rlame	rices poster c Fremont	SMAR	SINE	SIMP	8 IMR	SIMP	Expected Fill Turne / Flow Rate	mont Ave N 9803 06-352-3790 06-352-7178
04/15me	SVE = SVE SVL = High Pro Analytical	444	9/23/20	468 257	568 2011	9/12/12 330	Swingle Start Date & Torre	Date: 9 Project Nar Project No: Project No: Location: Location: Location: Location: Location: Location: Location: Location: Location: Location: Location:
Col of	( = Landi ssure Cylind on behalf (	-70.0	- 30.0	-29.5	-30.0	-29.0	Field India Sample Pressure [* Hg]	Air Ci 123/20 123/20 1993 1993 Jeat Jeat Jeat
Hernord Children	I D I III F F - Hup of the Client	thb officius	9/23/20	9/13/20	4123/20	9/13/10	Sample End Date & fime	inain of
3	i 5 - Sorb named ab	-5.0	-1)	-8,5	-9.5	0.8-	fijeld firnal Sample Pressure (* Ng)	Custo Pag hustper (0.61) (0.61
(	ant 5						VOCs TO15 SCAN	es es
	lat 1	×	×	×	×	~	VOCI TO IS SCAN IL	Re
5	TB=		~		-	~	Siloxanes 7015	CO)
	veril						Sulfur TOIN	rd .
	Bag ied (						fellfur Ext. 1015	Inbo
IN ADD	lico			_			APH TO U	ak ak
1 10	r's a					-	Heluny	International Action
	Prees	-					Major Gases de	ate
on 9/23/20 (D	nent 3 Day Same Day						Comments	nemali 2009385 omy awaly 2. for th cis 1,2-bct; trans ; pct; bct; trans ; pct; bct; trans ; pct; bct; may work the emport is submitted to che Xos to Dopone I submitted to che
lesa		5	N	01-	-0	10	Final Plessure FHg)	nent v v v v v v v

Page 18 of 19

Turn Around Time Standard 🗇 Next Day Solay 🗇 Same Day Z Day week	Rog Rog Red Client's agreement	et I have verifi				The second secon					
j.		TB = Tedjar 1	s = Sorbert Tub med above, th	D = Digesti r F = Filter f the C'lient na	L = kanatil saure Cylindia on behalf ol	SVE SVE CA High Pre Annly field o	soit Gas Conster th Exemont	5obslob / 11 + 15 greement wit	r Air 6L Cansuer this Agree e of this Ag	in Indoo rife Vac Ni - to enter into and backsid	<ul> <li>Matrix codes: AA = Ambient Air</li> <li>Container Codes: BV = Uther By</li> <li>represent that 1 am authorized</li> <li>cach of the terms on the from</li> </ul>
h.											
		×	-5,0	4(23)20	-36.0	9123/20 1029	5 mil	\$2	AIR	1681	20200923
0-		×	9.0	9/125/20 -	-300	9/73/20 813	8118	62	ANA	17244 FRS-11	100-0A2-20200923
8,		Х.	5't	9/23/20	-30.0	9/123/12 808	SWR	61	ATA	190-844	900-IA1-20200923
-60		×	etter	1550 -	-20.0	162k	8 hK	lol	TA	517234	5900-IA3-1010092
Contiments ("Hg)	APH TO15 APH TO15 Helium Major Gases 30	VOK # TO IS SIM	VOCUTICIS SCAN	Sample Ind State & Time	Field Lottal Sample Freshold Freshold	Sample Start Date & Time	Equected 54 Tone / Flow Ryte	Contained Type **	Sample Type (Matro) *	Constant ( ) frame Brig Socialist III	Service Norme
Divinose I Hala (Ion nav apply) ( ) () () () () () () () () () () () ()	the was requested. XOK to b	in the sol	nier a	ue John	M) Da	Reports to (PI Email (PM)				- 4678	phone: 253-284
that second is subworked to also tailed.	an analysis are elemented of and should be	K	nauge	th lava	Jesika	Collected by:			20	VA 984	, state, zip: Tallowna, , 4
le; PCE; TCE	why whopid		1	the, WA	Seat	Location:				St.	107 S C
CE; 1,1-DUE;	trans 1,2-DC		011	001.010	1993	Project No:				Sociates	ine Landan As
halyze for the	special Burnarks & Oral Y and Add OWING : CI3	ot: /	Page: 1 MStpjes	rel Ind	23/20	Date: 9/	, INA 98103 06-352-3790 06-352-7178	Seattle Tel: 2 Fax: 2	TATA	Analyi	